

SUMS OF SQUARES IN \mathbf{Q} AND $\mathbf{F}(T)$

KEITH CONRAD

1. INTRODUCTION

To illustrate the analogies between integers and polynomials, we prove a theorem about sums of squares over \mathbf{Z} and then prove an analogous result in $F[T]$ (where F does not have characteristic 2). Specifically, we will show that if an integer is a sum of 2 or 3 rational squares then it is in fact a sum of 2 or 3 integer squares. The polynomial analogue is stronger: if a polynomial is a sum of n squares of rational functions for any n then it is a sum of n squares of polynomials. The proof in the polynomial case is essentially the same as the integer case.

2. THE INTEGER CASE

Theorem 2.1. *If an integer is a sum of two rational squares then it is a sum of two integral squares. If an integer is a sum of three rational squares then it is a sum of three integral squares.*

Example 2.2. We have $193 = (1512/109)^2 + (83/109)^2$, $193 = (933/101)^2 + (1048/101)^2$, and $193 = 7^2 + 12^2$.

Example 2.3. We have $13 = (18/11)^2 + (15/11)^2 + (32/11)^2$, $13 = (2/3)^2 + (7/3)^2 + (8/3)^2$, and $13 = 0^2 + 3^2 + 2^2$.

Proof. Suppose $v = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbf{Q}^2$ satisfies $s_1^2 + s_2^2 = a$. We will write this as $v \cdot v = a$. If s_1 and s_2 are in \mathbf{Z} , we're done, so we assume at least one of them is not in \mathbf{Z} . Write the s_i 's with a common denominator: $s_i = m_i/d$ where the m_i 's and d are in \mathbf{Z} and $d \neq \pm 1$. We want to find a $w \in \mathbf{Q}^2$ such that $w \cdot w = v \cdot v$ and w has a common denominator of smaller size than v . Repeating this enough times, we will eventually get a common denominator of 1, meaning we have a as a sum of integer squares.

In \mathbf{Z} , divide each m_i by the common denominator d :

$$m_i = dq_i + r_i$$

where q_i and r_i are in \mathbf{Z} and $|r_i| \leq d/2$. Since s_1 and s_2 are not both in \mathbf{Z} , some r_i is nonzero. Thus $v = (s_1, s_2) = \mathbf{q} + (1/d)\mathbf{r}$ where $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2)$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2)$ are in \mathbf{Z}^2 and $\mathbf{r} \neq (0, 0)$.

Using the dot product,

$$(2.1) \quad v \cdot v = \left(\mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{r} \right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{r} \right) = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{d^2}\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \frac{2}{d}\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}.$$

Since \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{r} are integral vectors the dot products $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$, and $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ are in \mathbf{Z} . Since $|r_i| \leq d/2$, $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \leq 2(d/2)^2 = d^2/2$, so $(1/d^2)\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \leq 1/2$.

Since $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we can consider the reflection $w = \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(v)$. From the properties of reflections, $w \cdot w = v \cdot v = a$. We will show the coordinates of $w \in \mathbf{Q}^2$ have a smaller common denominator than the common denominator d for v .

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
w &= \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(v) \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q} + (1/d)\mathbf{r}) \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{r} \\
&= \left(\mathbf{q} - \frac{2\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} \right) - \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{r} \\
&= \mathbf{q} - \left(\frac{2\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{d} \right) \mathbf{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.1) by $d/(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})$,

$$\frac{d(v \cdot v)}{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = \frac{d(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q})}{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{d} + \frac{2\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}},$$

so

$$w = \mathbf{q} - \frac{d(v \cdot v - \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q} - \frac{v \cdot v - \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})/d} \mathbf{r},$$

where the denominator $(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})/d$ is an integer: by (2.1),

$$\frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{d} = d(v \cdot v - \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}) - 2\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}$$

and the right side is in \mathbf{Z} . We noted before that $(1/d^2)\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \leq 1/2$, so $(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})/d$ is at most $d/2 < d$, which means the common denominator for w is less than that for v , so we are done with the sum of two squares case.

The exact same proof works for a sum of three squares, using dot products and reflections in three dimensions instead of two dimensions. The only change to be made is the following: now we have $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ where $|r_i| \leq (1/2)d$, so $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 \leq (3/4)d^2$ instead of $(1/2)d^2$. Now $(1/d^2)\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \leq 3/4$ instead of $1/2$, so $(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})/d \leq (3/4)d$ instead of $d/2$. This is still less than d , so everything still works in the proof when it is done for sums of three squares. \square

Geometrically, we are looking at the circle $\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = a\}$ and taking reflections of rational points through the nearest \mathbf{Z} -point to get new rational points.

The corresponding result for a sum of 2 cubes is false: $13 = (7/3)^3 + (2/3)^3$, but 13 is not a sum of two cubes in \mathbf{Z} (look at how the cubes spread apart on the real line).

3. THE POLYNOMIAL ANALOGUE

Theorem 3.1. *Let $Q: F^n \rightarrow F$ be a non-degenerate n -dimensional quadratic form over a field F not of characteristic 2. If $v \in F(T)^n$ satisfies $Q(v) \in F[T]$ then there is some $w \in F(T)^n$ such that $Q(w) = Q(v)$. In other words, any polynomial that is represented by Q over $F(T)$ is represented by Q over $F[T]$.*

The quadratic form in this theorem has coefficients in F , not simply in $F[T]$. For example, the 1-dimensional quadratic form $Q(x) = T^2x^2$ represents 1 over $F(T)$ but not over $F[T]$.

Proof. Let $v = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in F(T)^n$ satisfy $Q(v) \in F[T]$. Assume the f_i 's are not all in $F[T]$. (Otherwise we are done.) Write the f_i 's with a common denominator: $f_i = g_i/h$ where the g_i 's and h are in $F[T]$ and h is non-constant. We want to find a $w \in F(T)^n$ such that $Q(w) = Q(v)$ and w has a common denominator of smaller degree than $\deg h$. Then

repeating the argument will eventually produce a vector of polynomials $w \in F[T]^n$ such that $Q(w) = Q(v)$ and we're done.

In $F[T]$, divide each g_i by the common denominator h :

$$g_i = hq_i + r_i$$

where q_i and r_i are in $F[T]$ and $r_i = 0$ or $\deg r_i < \deg h$. Since not all f_i 's are in $F[T]$, some r_i is nonzero. Thus $v = (f_1, \dots, f_n) = \mathbf{q} + (1/h)\mathbf{r}$ where $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ are in $F[T]^n$ and $\mathbf{r} \neq (0, \dots, 0)$.

Let B be the bilinear form associated to Q , so B has coefficients in F and

$$(3.1) \quad Q(v) = Q\left(\mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{h}\mathbf{r}\right) = Q(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{h^2}Q(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{2}{h}B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}).$$

Since \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{r} are polynomial vectors and Q and B have coefficients in F , the values $Q(\mathbf{q})$, $Q(\mathbf{r})$, and $B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$ are in $F[T]$. Since $\deg(r_i r_j) < 2 \deg h$ or $r_i r_j = 0$, $Q(\mathbf{r})$ is 0 or $\deg Q(\mathbf{r}) < 2 \deg h$. (Here we use the non-archimedean nature of the degree on $F[T]$, which has no analogue for the absolute value on \mathbf{Z} .)

We consider now two cases: $Q(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ and $Q(\mathbf{r}) \neq 0$.

If $Q(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ then \mathbf{r} is a nonzero null vector for Q . Necessarily $n > 1$ (n is the dimension of Q), since Q is non-degenerate: a 1-dimensional quadratic form doesn't have any nonzero null vectors. We will find a nonzero constant vector $v_0 \in F^n$ such that $Q(v_0) = 0$. Then, since $n > 1$ and Q is non-degenerate, there is another null vector w_0 for Q in F^n with $B(v_0, w_0) = 1$. Then for any $f \in F[T]$, the polynomial vector $fv_0 + (1/2)w_0 \in F[T]^n$ satisfies

$$Q(fv_0 + (1/2)w_0) = f^2Q(v_0) + \frac{1}{4}Q(w_0) + 2B(fv_0, (1/2)w_0) = f,$$

showing Q is universal over $F[T]$. We are done.

To find such v_0 , pull out the largest factor of T common to all the coordinates of \mathbf{r} : $\mathbf{r} = T^k(\mathbf{r}_0 + T\mathbf{r}_1)$, where $k \geq 0$, $\mathbf{r}_0 \in F^n$, $\mathbf{r}_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{r}_1 \in F[T]^n$. Then

$$0 = Q(\mathbf{r}) = T^{2k}Q(\mathbf{r}_0 + T\mathbf{r}_1) = T^{2k}(Q(\mathbf{r}_0) + T^2Q(\mathbf{r}_1) + 2TB(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_1)).$$

Therefore $0 = Q(\mathbf{r}_0) + T^2Q(\mathbf{r}_1) + 2TB(\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{r}_1)$, Evaluating at $T = 0$ shows $\mathbf{r}_0 \in F^n$ is a null vector for Q . Use $v_0 = \mathbf{r}_0$.

Now suppose $Q(\mathbf{r}) \neq 0$. As in the situation over \mathbf{Q} , consider the reflection $w = \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(v)$. From the properties of reflections, $Q(w) = Q(v)$. We will show the coordinates of $w \in F(T)^n$ have a common denominator with smaller degree than the common denominator h for v .

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(v) \\ &= \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q} + (1/h)\mathbf{r}) \\ &= \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{1}{h}\mathbf{r} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{q} - \frac{2B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})}{Q(\mathbf{r})}\mathbf{r}\right) - \frac{1}{h}\mathbf{r} \\ &= \mathbf{q} - \left(\frac{2B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})}{Q(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{1}{h}\right)\mathbf{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3.1) by $h/Q(\mathbf{r})$,

$$\frac{hQ(\mathbf{v})}{Q(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{hQ(\mathbf{q})}{Q(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{1}{h} + \frac{2B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})}{Q(\mathbf{r})},$$

so

$$w = \mathbf{q} - \frac{h(Q(v) - Q(\mathbf{r}))}{Q(\mathbf{r})} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q} - \frac{Q(v) - Q(\mathbf{r})}{Q(\mathbf{r})/h} \mathbf{r},$$

where the denominator $Q(\mathbf{r})/h$ is a polynomial: by (3.1),

$$\frac{Q(\mathbf{r})}{h} = h(Q(v) - Q(\mathbf{q})) - 2B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$$

and the right side is in $F[T]$ (here, for the first time in the case when $Q(\mathbf{r}) \neq 0$, we use the assumption that $Q(v) \in F[T]$). The degree of $Q(\mathbf{r})/h$ is $\deg Q(\mathbf{r}) - \deg h < 2 \deg h - \deg h = \deg h$, so we are done. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If a polynomial in $F[T]$ is a sum of n squares in $F(T)$ then it is a sum of n squares in $F[T]$.*

Proof. Take $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ in Theorem 3.1. \square