

## THE SCHUR–ZASSENHAUS THEOREM

KEITH CONRAD

When  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , can we reconstruct  $G$  from  $N$  and  $G/N$ ? In general, no. For instance, the groups  $\mathbf{Z}/(p^2)$  and  $\mathbf{Z}/(p) \times \mathbf{Z}/(p)$  (for prime  $p$ ) are nonisomorphic, but each has a cyclic subgroup of order  $p$  and the quotient by it also has order  $p$ . As another example, the nonisomorphic groups  $\mathbf{Z}/(2p)$  and  $D_p$  (for odd prime  $p$ ) have a normal subgroup that is cyclic of order  $p$ , whose quotient is cyclic of order 2.

If we impose the condition that  $N$  and  $G/N$  have relatively prime order, then something nice can be said:  $G$  is a semidirect product of  $N$  and  $G/N$ . This is the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, which we will discuss below. It doesn't uniquely determine  $G$ , as there could be several non-isomorphic semi-direct products of the abstract groups  $N$  and  $G/N$ , but each one is a group with normal subgroup  $N$  and quotient by it isomorphic to  $G/N$ . For instance, if  $N \cong \mathbf{Z}/(p)$  for odd prime  $p$  and  $G/N \cong \mathbf{Z}/(2)$  then  $G$  must be a semi-direct product  $\mathbf{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbf{Z}/(2)$ . The only two semidirect products are the direct product (which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/(2p)$ ) and the nontrivial semidirect product (which is isomorphic to  $D_p$ ).

**Theorem 1** (Schur-Zassenhaus). *Let  $G$  be a finite group and write  $\#G = ab$  where  $(a, b) = 1$ . If  $G$  has a normal subgroup of order  $a$  then it has a subgroup of order  $b$ .*

Let's see why this theorem tells us  $G$  is a semidirect product. Letting  $N$  be the normal subgroup of order  $a$  and  $H$  be a subgroup of order  $b$ ,  $N \cap H$  is trivial since  $(a, b) = 1$ , so  $G = NH \cong N \rtimes H$  is a semidirect product with  $N$  as the normal factor.

We will present two proofs of this theorem. Both proofs will be incomplete at the end. Each proof will reduce to the case when  $N$  is abelian, at which point the machinery of group cohomology can be applied. While group cohomology provides a general tool to describe the groups having a particular normal subgroup with a particular quotient group (up to isomorphism), it requires the normal subgroup be abelian, and we are making no such assumption. So the proof of the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem amounts to a reduction process to the case when  $N$  is abelian.

The first proof of the theorem will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $N \triangleleft G$  and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$  then  $G = N \cdot N_G(P)$ . In particular, if  $P \triangleleft N$  then  $P \triangleleft G$ .*

*Proof.* Pick  $g \in G$ . Since  $P \subset N$  and  $N \triangleleft G$ ,  $gPg^{-1} \subset N$ . Then by Sylow II for the group  $N$ , there is an  $n \in N$  such that  $gPg^{-1} = nPn^{-1}$ , so  $n^{-1}gPg^{-1}n = P$ . That means  $n^{-1}g \in N_G(P)$ , so  $g \in nN_G(P)$ . Thus  $G = N \cdot N_G(P)$ .

If  $P \triangleleft N$  then  $N \subset N_G(P)$ , so  $N \cdot N_G(P) = N_G(P)$ . Thus  $G = N_G(P)$ , so  $P \triangleleft G$ . □

Here is the first proof of the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem.

*Proof.* Assume the theorem is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. So any group with order less than  $\#G$  satisfies the theorem. Easily  $a > 1$  and  $b > 1$ .

Let  $N \triangleleft G$  with  $\#N = a$ . We aim to get a contradiction.

Step 1: Show  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ : there are no normal subgroups of  $G$  lying strictly between  $\{e\}$  and  $N$ .

Suppose  $N' \triangleleft G$  with  $\{e\} \subset N' \subset N$  and  $N' \neq \{e\}$  or  $N$ . We look at the group  $G/N'$  with order  $< \#G$ . Since  $N/N' \triangleleft G/N'$  and  $\#(G/N') = \#(N/N')b$  with the two factors being relatively prime, by minimality of  $G$  there is a subgroup of  $G/N'$  with order  $b$ . It has the form  $K/N'$ , so  $\#K = \#N'b < ab$ . Since  $\#N'$  and  $b$  are relatively prime, by minimality of  $G$  there is a subgroup of order  $b$  in  $K$  and hence in  $G$ . This is a contradiction, so  $N'$  doesn't exist.

Step 2: Show  $N$  is an abelian  $p$ -group.

Let  $P$  be a nontrivial Sylow subgroup of  $N$ , so by Theorem 2 we have  $G = NN_G(P)$ . Then  $G/N \cong N_G(P)/(N \cap N_G(P))$  and the order of  $N_G(P)$  is  $\#(N \cap N_G(P))b$  with  $\#(N \cap N_G(P))$  a factor of  $a$  (hence relatively prime to  $b$ ). Since  $N \cap N_G(P)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_G(P)$ , if  $N_G(P)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  then by minimality of  $G$  there is a subgroup of order  $b$  in  $N_G(P)$ , and hence in  $G$ . This isn't possible, so  $N_G(P) = G$ , which means  $P \triangleleft G$ . Therefore, by the Sylow theorems,  $P$  is a normal subgroup of  $N$ , so  $P = N$  by Step 1. Then  $Z(P)$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $P$ , so  $Z(P) = P$  by Step 1 again, which means  $N$  is an abelian  $p$ -group.

Step 3: Show  $N \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^k$ .

Considering the structure of finite abelian  $p$ -groups, this step is equivalent to showing  $N^p = \{x^p : x \in N\}$  is trivial. Assume  $N^p$  is nontrivial. It is preserved as a set by all group automorphisms of  $N$ , so in particular  $gN^p g^{-1} = N^p$  for any  $g \in G$ . Thus  $N^p \triangleleft G$ , so  $N/N^p \triangleleft G/N^p$ . Since  $N/N^p$  is a  $p$ -group while the index  $[G/N^p : N/N^p] = [G : N]$  is relatively prime to  $p$ , by induction  $G/N^p$  has a subgroup of order  $[G : N]$ . The subgroup is  $H/N^p$  for some  $H \subset G$ , so  $[H : N^p] = [G : N]$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Since  $N^p \triangleleft H$ ,  $N^p$  is a  $p$ -group with index prime to  $p$  in  $H$ , and  $\#H < \#G$ , by induction again there is a subgroup  $K$  of  $H$  with order  $[H : N^p] = [G : N]$ . This  $K$  is also in  $G$ , so  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $[G : N]$ . This is a contradiction, so  $N^p$  is trivial.

Step 4: Get a final contradiction.

Let  $G$  act on  $N$  by conjugation. Since  $N \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^k$ , automorphisms of  $N$  can be interpreted as elements of  $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbf{Z}/(p))$ . Therefore the conjugation action of  $G$  on  $N$  is a group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbf{Z}/(p))$ . Since  $N$  is abelian, it acts trivially on itself, so our action descends to a homomorphism  $G/N \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbf{Z}/(p))$ . At this point the reader is referred to the literature for the rest of the proof. Two possible approaches are representation theory [2, p. 146] or group cohomology (the vanishing of  $H^2(G/N, N)$ ; a cohomological neophyte can find this done without any reference to cohomology in [3, pp. 246–247], but it is not very illuminating).  $\square$

Here is a second proof. Again we will reduce to the case of an abelian normal subgroup.

*Proof.* Let  $N \triangleleft G$  with  $\#N$  and  $[G : N]$  relatively prime. We want to prove  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $[G : N]$ . Of course we can assume  $N$  is a nontrivial proper subgroup of  $G$ .

We induct on  $\#G$ . Assume  $\#G > 1$  and the theorem is verified for subgroups with smaller order. Let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $\#N$  and  $P$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $N$ , so  $P$  is nontrivial. Because  $[G : N]$  is prime to  $\#N$ ,  $p$  does not divide  $[G : N]$  so  $P$  is also a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $P \subset N$  and  $N \triangleleft G$ , all  $G$ -conjugates of  $P$  are in  $N$ . Therefore all the

$p$ -Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are in  $N$ , hence by counting  $p$ -Sylows in  $G$  and in  $N$  we get

$$[G : N_G(P)] = [N : N_G(P) \cap N].$$

Writing these indices as ratios and rearranging terms,

$$(1) \quad [G : N] = [N_G(P) : N_G(P) \cap N].$$

Case 1:  $P$  is not normal in  $G$ . Then  $N_G(P)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ . The group  $N_G(P) \cap N$  is normal in  $N_G(P)$  since  $N \triangleleft G$ , the order of  $N_G(P) \cap N$  divides  $\#N$ , and the index of  $N_G(P) \cap N$  in  $N_G(P)$  is  $[G : N]$  by (1), so  $N_G(P)$  and its normal subgroup  $N_G(P) \cap N$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. Since  $\#N_G(P) < \#G$ , by induction  $N_G(P)$  has a subgroup of order  $[N_G(P) : N_G(P) \cap N] = [G : N]$ . This is a subgroup of  $G$  too, so we're done.

Case 2:  $P \triangleleft G$ . Then  $P \triangleleft N$  and  $N/P \triangleleft G/P$  with  $\#(N/P)$  dividing  $\#N$  and  $[G/P : N/P] = [G : N]$ . This order and index are relatively prime, and  $\#(G/P) < \#G$ , so by induction the theorem holds for  $G/P$  and its subgroup  $N/P$ : there is a subgroup in  $G/P$  of order  $[G/P : N/P] = [G : N]$ . Write the subgroup as  $H/P$ , so  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and

$$(2) \quad [H : P] = \#(H/P) = [G : N]$$

is not divisible by  $p$ . (If  $P = N$  then  $H = G$ .)

Since  $P$  is a nontrivial  $p$ -group, its center  $Z := Z(P)$  is nontrivial. Also  $Z \triangleleft H$  (the center of a normal subgroup is also a normal subgroup), so  $P/Z \triangleleft H/Z$ . The group  $P/Z$  is a  $p$ -group (possibly trivial, if  $P$  is abelian) while  $[H/Z : P/Z] = [H : P] = [G : N]$  is prime to  $p$ , so (since  $\#(H/Z) < \#H \leq \#G$ ) by induction  $H/Z$  contains a subgroup  $K/Z$  of order  $[H : P]$ . (If  $P$  is abelian then  $K = H$ .)

Now we have  $Z \triangleleft K$  with  $Z$  a  $p$ -group and

$$[K : Z] = \#(K/Z) = [H : P] = [G : N]$$

being prime to  $p$ , so  $K$  and its normal subgroup  $Z$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. Now if  $\#K < \#G$  then we can apply induction to conclude  $K$  has a subgroup of order  $[K : Z] = [G : N]$ , and this is also a subgroup of  $G$ , so we're done. What if  $K = G$ ? Since  $K \subset H \subset G$ , if  $K = G$  then  $H = G$  so  $[G : P] = [G : N]$  by (2). Therefore  $N = P$  since  $P \subset N$ , so  $N$  is a normal Sylow subgroup of  $G$ .

If  $N$  is a normal  $p$ -Sylow in  $G$  and it is not abelian, we can use induction yet again to finish the proof. Run through the argument two paragraphs up (with  $P = N$ ,  $H = G$ , and  $Z = Z(P) = Z(N)$  the center of  $N$ ). We get a subgroup  $K/Z$  of  $G/Z$  with order  $[G : N]$ . Now  $\#K = \#Z[G : N]$ . If  $Z \neq N$  (i.e.,  $N$  is non-abelian) then  $\#Z < \#N$  so  $\#K < \#N[G : N] = \#G$  and we are done as before.

What if  $N$  is normal in  $G$  and  $N$  is abelian? In this case we can, as in the previous proof, consider  $N^p = \{x \in N : x^p = 1\}$ . This is a normal subgroup of  $N$  and in fact it is normal in  $G$  too. Running through the previous paragraph with  $N^p$  in place of  $Z$  we are done by another induction unless  $N^p = N$ , which means all the elements of  $N$  have order  $p$ . So we are left to contemplate the same case as at the end of the first proof:  $N$  is a normal  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$  and is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}/(p))^k$  for some  $k$ . The end of the proof is now the same as in the first proof: use either representation theory or group cohomology.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** The Schur–Zassenhaus theorem actually has an important second part, which we omitted: any two subgroups of order  $b$  in  $G$  are conjugate to each other. See [3, p. 248] for the proof of that.

Let's put the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem to work. We ask, out of idle curiosity, whether  $p \mid \#G$  implies  $p \mid \# \text{Aut}(G)$ . The answer, of course, is no: try  $G = \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ . As we now show, this counterexample essentially explains all the others.

**Corollary 4.** *Fix a prime  $p$ . For a finite group  $G$  with order divisible by  $p$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\# \text{Aut}(G)$  is not divisible by  $p$ ,
- (2)  $G \cong \mathbf{Z}/(p) \times H$  where  $\#H$  and  $\# \text{Aut}(H)$  are not divisible by  $p$ .

*In particular, if  $p^2 \mid \#G$  then  $p \mid \# \text{Aut}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume (1) holds and let  $P$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . We expect to show  $G \cong P \times H$  and  $P \cong \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ .

For any  $x \in P$  there is the automorphism  $\gamma_x \in \text{Aut}(G)$  which is conjugation by  $x$ . Since  $x$  has  $p$ -power order, so does  $\gamma_x$  (recall  $\gamma_x^n = \gamma_{x^n}$  for all  $n$ ). By hypothesis  $\# \text{Aut}(G)$  is not divisible by  $p$ , so the only element of  $p$ -power order in  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is the identity. Thus  $\gamma_x = \text{id}_G$  for all  $x \in P$ , which means  $P \subset Z(G)$ . In particular,  $P \triangleleft G$  by Sylow II and  $P$  is abelian. Therefore the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem tells us  $G \cong PH$  for some subgroup  $H$  with order not divisible by  $p$ . Since  $P \subset Z(G)$ ,  $G \cong P \times H$ . Because the groups  $P$  and  $H$  have relatively prime order and commute in  $G$ ,  $\text{Aut}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(P) \times \text{Aut}(H)$  in the natural way. Therefore  $p$  doesn't divide  $\# \text{Aut}(P)$  or  $\# \text{Aut}(H)$ .

Which finite abelian  $p$ -groups  $P$  have  $\# \text{Aut}(P)$  not divisible by  $p$ ? Write  $P$  as a direct product of cyclic groups, say

$$P = \mathbf{Z}/(p^{r_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z}/(p^{r_k}).$$

Since  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}/(p^r)) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p^r))^\times$  has order  $p^{r-1}(p-1)$ , we see that if some  $r_i > 1$  then that  $\mathbf{Z}/(p^{r_i})$  has an automorphism of order  $p$ , so  $P$  does as well (act by the chosen automorphism on the  $i$ -th factor and fix elements in the other factors). Thus, if  $\# \text{Aut}(P)$  is not divisible by  $p$  we must have  $r_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , so  $P \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^k$  is a direct sum of copies of  $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ . That means  $\text{Aut}(P) \cong \text{GL}_k(\mathbf{Z}/(p))$ , whose order is divisible by  $p^{k(k-1)/2}$ , and thus is divisible by  $p$  unless  $k = 1$ . So we must have  $P \cong \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ , which concludes the proof that (1) implies (2).

To show (2) implies (1),  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}/(p) \times H) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Z}/(p)) \times \text{Aut}(H) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/(p))^\times \times \text{Aut}(H)$ , and this has order not divisible by  $p$  since  $\# \text{Aut}(H)$  is not divisible by  $p$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.** If  $\#G$  is even and  $\# \text{Aut}(G)$  is odd then  $G \cong \mathbf{Z}/(2) \times H$  where  $H$  is a group of odd order with  $\text{Aut}(H)$  of odd order too. The smallest such nontrivial  $H$  has order  $729 = 3^6$  with automorphism group of order  $19683 = 3^9$ .

When  $p \mid \# \text{Aut}(G)$ , one way to search for elements of order  $p$  in  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is by looking for an inner automorphism: if  $g \in G$  has order  $p$  and  $g$  is not in the center of  $G$  then conjugation by  $G$  is an (inner) automorphism of  $G$  with order  $p$ . Since inner automorphisms are a cheap construction, we ask: when are there non-inner automorphisms of order  $p$ , assuming that we know  $p \mid \# \text{Aut}(G)$  (and  $p \mid \#G$ )? For  $p$ -groups there is a complete answer. When  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group, it has an automorphism of order  $p$  as long as  $G \not\cong \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ , and that automorphism is not inner since  $G$  is abelian. When  $G$  is a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group, Gatschütz [1] showed that there is an automorphism of order  $p$  which is not inner, using cohomology.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Gatschütz, Nichtabelsche  $p$ -Gruppen besitzen äussere  $p$ -Automorphismen, *J. Algebra* **4** (1966), 1–2.

- [2] M. I. Kargaplov and Y. I. Merzlyakov, “Fundamentals of the theory of groups,” Springer–Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [3] D. J. S. Robinson, “A Course in the Theory of Groups,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.