

THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA VIA MULTIVARIABLE CALCULUS

KEITH CONRAD

This is a proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra which is due to Gauss, in 1816. It is based on [1, pp. 680–682]. The proof is accessible, in principle, to anyone who has had multivariable calculus and knows about complex numbers. The main idea will be to compute a certain double integral and then compute the integral in the other order.

We take for granted the following result from calculus, which is a special case of Fubini's theorem.

Lemma 1. *Let $[a, b] \times [c, d] \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ be a rectangle, and f be a continuous function on this rectangle, with real values. Then*

$$\int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x, y) dx \right) dy = \int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) dy \right) dx.$$

Theorem 1. *Every nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbf{C}[z]$ has a complex root.*

Proof. We are going to prove the contrapositive: if

$$f(z) = c_n z^n + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1 z + c_0$$

has no complex roots, then $f(z)$ is a (nonzero) constant. Here n is the degree of f .

Write $z = r e^{i\theta}$, so $z^j = r^j \cos(j\theta) + i r^j \sin(j\theta)$. Therefore the decomposition of $f(z)$ into real and imaginary parts is

$$f(z) = P(r, \theta) + iQ(r, \theta),$$

where

$$P(r, \theta) = r^n \cos(n\theta) + \cdots + \operatorname{Re}(c_0), \quad Q(r, \theta) = r^n \sin(n\theta) + \cdots + \operatorname{Im}(c_0).$$

Both P and Q are polynomials in r of degree n , with constant terms independent of θ . (In particular, a trigonometric function of θ appears in P and Q only when multiplied by positive powers of r , so the ambiguity in the definition of θ at the origin does not matter: $P(0, \theta) = \operatorname{Re}(c_0)$ and $Q(0, \theta) = \operatorname{Im}(c_0)$ for all θ .) From this observation about the constant terms,

$$\left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=0} = 0.$$

Clearly P and Q are 2π -periodic, as are $\partial P/\partial r$ and $\partial Q/\partial r$.

To say f has no complex roots is the same as saying P and Q are not simultaneously 0 anywhere. Writing $f(z) = P + iQ$ in polar coordinates, we contemplate its angular component, $\arctan(Q/P)$.

Set

$$U = \arctan \left(\frac{Q}{P} \right).$$

From the derivative formula for the arctangent,

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{(1 + Q/P)^2} \cdot \frac{PQ_r - QP_r}{P^2} = \frac{PQ_r - QP_r}{P^2 + Q^2}$$

and similarly

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} = \frac{PQ_\theta - QP_\theta}{P^2 + Q^2},$$

where we adopt the subscript notation for partial derivatives.

The formulas on the right side of (1) and (2) make sense everywhere, since $P^2 + Q^2 \neq 0$ for all (r, θ) . However, there is something mysterious about the definition of the function U as a “value” of arctangent. Usually one defines the function $\arctan x$ to take values in $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, with values $\pm\pi/2$ at $\pm\infty$ from the asymptotics visible on the graph of $y = \arctan x$. But this kind of definition is bad to use in the definition of U , because we can imagine wandering through a point in the plane where $P = 0$ (and thus where Q/P is “infinite”) such that the *continuous* variation in \arctan may demand that the function U increase above the value $\pi/2$.

This is the same kind of problem one meets when trying to define logarithms of complex numbers, but we can circumvent the trouble with U by taking the right sides of (1) and (2) as our basic functions (*i.e.*, the partial derivative notation on the left sides is purely suggestive, at least for readers who only know up to multivariable calculus). For example, the formula

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

can be checked by a direct calculation of the θ -partial of the right side of (1) and the r -partial of the right side of (2). We do not appeal to the theorem on equality of mixed partials. The common “iterated” derivative in (3) has the form $H(r, \theta)/(P^2 + Q^2)^2$ for an explicit continuous function H .

Applying Lemma 1 to the rectangle $[0, R] \times [0, 2\pi]$ (with $R > 0$) in the (r, θ) plane, and integrating the function in (3), we have

$$(4) \quad \int_0^R \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right) dr = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^R \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} dr \right) d\theta.$$

On the left side, we evaluate the inner integral by appealing to (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} d\theta &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} d\theta \\ &= \left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right|_{\theta=0}^{\theta=2\pi} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\partial U/\partial r$ is 2π -periodic. Therefore the left side of (4) is 0 for all $R > 0$.

Now we compute the right side of (4). The inside integral is

$$\int_0^R \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} dr = \left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=0}^{r=R} = \left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=R}$$

since the θ -partials of P and Q vanish at $r = 0$. Having separately computed the two sides of (4), we conclude that for $R > 0$,

$$\left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=R} = 0.$$

Now we are going to compute the value of this partial derivative by the explicit formula (2). First we look at the numerator. Because

$$P_\theta = -nr^n \sin(n\theta) + \cdots, \quad Q_\theta = nr^n \cos(n\theta) + \cdots,$$

where \dots represents terms of lower degree in r ,

$$PQ_\theta - QP_\theta = nr^{2n} \cos^2(n\theta) + \dots + nr^{2n} \sin(n\theta) + \dots = nr^{2n} + \dots.$$

Similarly, the denominator in (2) is $r^{2n} + \dots$, so

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} = \frac{nr^{2n} + \dots}{r^{2n} + \dots}.$$

The lower degree terms have θ appearing only inside trigonometric (and thus bounded) functions, hence

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=R} = n$$

uniformly in θ . That lets us evaluate the right side of (4), and obtain

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^R \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} dr \right) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \left. \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right|_{r=R} d\theta \rightarrow 2\pi n$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we already computed from (4) that the integral is 0. Therefore $n = 0$. \square

To summarize the argument, we showed that if $f(z)$ has degree n and $f(z) \neq 0$ for all complex z , then $U = \arctan(\operatorname{Im}(f)/\operatorname{Re}(f))$ satisfies

$$0 = \int_0^R \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right) dr = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^R \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} dr \right) d\theta \rightarrow 2\pi n$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, so $n = 0$, *i.e.*, f is a constant.

Since $\arctan(Q/P)$ is essentially the argument of $P + iQ = f$, this proof of Gauss is a precursor of the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra based on winding numbers, which involves the computation of $(1/2\pi i) \int_C (f'(z)/f(z)) dz$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Fikhtengoltz, "Course of Differential and Integral Calculus, Vol. 2," (Russian) 7th ed., Nauka, Moscow (1969).