

# DIFFERENTIATING UNDER THE INTEGRAL SIGN

KEITH CONRAD

I had learned to do integrals by various methods shown in a book that my high school physics teacher Mr. Bader had given me. [It] showed how to differentiate parameters under the integral sign – it’s a certain operation. It turns out that’s not taught very much in the universities; they don’t emphasize it. But I caught on how to use that method, and I used that one damn tool again and again. [If] guys at MIT or Princeton had trouble doing a certain integral, [then] I come along and try differentiating under the integral sign, and often it worked. So I got a great reputation for doing integrals, only because my box of tools was different from everybody else’s, and they had tried all their tools on it before giving the problem to me.

Richard Feynman [2, pp. 71–72]

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The method of differentiation under the integral sign, due originally to Leibniz, concerns integrals depending on a parameter, such as  $\int_0^1 x^2 e^{-tx} dx$ . Here  $t$  is the extra parameter. (Since  $x$  is the variable of integration,  $x$  is *not* a parameter.) In general, we might write such an integral as

$$(1.1) \quad \int_a^b f(x, t) dx,$$

where  $f(x, t)$  is a function of two variables like  $f(x, t) = x^2 e^{-tx}$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let  $f(x, t) = (2x + t^3)^2$ . Then

$$\int_0^1 f(x, t) dx = \int_0^1 (2x + t^3)^2 dx.$$

An anti-derivative of  $(2x + t^3)^2$  with respect to  $x$  is  $\frac{1}{6}(2x + t^3)^3$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (2x + t^3)^2 dx &= \left. \frac{(2x + t^3)^3}{6} \right|_{x=0}^{x=1} \\ &= \frac{(2 + t^3)^3 - t^9}{6} \\ &= \frac{4}{3} + 2t^3 + t^6. \end{aligned}$$

This answer is a function of  $t$ , which makes sense since the integrand depends on  $t$ . We integrate over  $x$  and are left with something that depends only on  $t$ , not  $x$ .

An integral like  $\int_a^b f(x, t) dx$  is a function of  $t$ , so we can ask about its  $t$ -derivative, assuming that  $f(x, t)$  is nicely behaved. The rule, called *differentiation under the integral sign*, is that the  $t$ -derivative of the integral of  $f(x, t)$  is the integral of the  $t$ -derivative of  $f(x, t)$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b f(x, t) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) dx.$$

If you are used to thinking mostly about functions with one variable, not two, keep in mind that (1.2) involves integrals and derivatives with respect to *separate* variables: integration with respect to  $x$  and differentiation with respect to  $t$ .

**Example 1.2.** We saw in Example 1.1 that  $\int_0^1 (2x + t^3)^2 dx = 4/3 + 2t^3 + t^6$ , whose  $t$ -derivative is  $6t^2 + 6t^5$ . According to (1.2), we can also compute the  $t$ -derivative of the integral like this:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (2x + t^3)^2 dx &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (2x + t^3)^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^1 2(2x + t^3)(3t^2) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (12t^2x + 6t^5) dx \\ &= 6t^2x^2 + 6t^5x \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} \\ &= 6t^2 + 6t^5. \end{aligned}$$

The answer agrees with our first, more direct, calculation.

We will apply (1.2) to many examples of integrals, in Section 11 we will discuss the justification of this method in our examples, and then we'll give some more examples.

## 2. EULER'S FACTORIAL INTEGRAL IN A NEW LIGHT

For integers  $n \geq 0$ , Euler's integral formula for  $n!$  is

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-x} dx = n!,$$

which can be obtained by repeated integration by parts starting from the formula

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx = 1$$

when  $n = 0$ . Now we are going to derive Euler's formula in another way, by repeated differentiation after introducing a parameter  $t$  into (2.2).

For any  $t > 0$ , let  $x = tu$ . Then  $dx = t du$  and (2.2) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty t e^{-tu} du = 1.$$

Dividing by  $t$  and writing  $u$  as  $x$  (why is this not a problem?), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} dx = \frac{1}{t}.$$

This is a parametric form of (2.2), where both sides are now functions of  $t$ . We need  $t > 0$  in order that  $e^{-tx}$  is integrable over the region  $x \geq 0$ .

Now we bring in differentiation under the integral sign. Differentiate both sides of (2.3) with respect to  $t$ , using (1.2) to treat the left side. We obtain

$$\int_0^\infty -x e^{-tx} dx = -\frac{1}{t^2},$$

so

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x e^{-tx} dx = \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

Differentiate both sides of (2.4) with respect to  $t$ , again using (1.2) to handle the left side. We get

$$\int_0^{\infty} -x^2 e^{-tx} dx = -\frac{2}{t^3}.$$

Taking out the sign on both sides,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x^2 e^{-tx} dx = \frac{2}{t^3}.$$

If we continue to differentiate each new equation with respect to  $t$  a few more times, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^3 e^{-tx} dx = \frac{6}{t^4},$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^4 e^{-tx} dx = \frac{24}{t^5},$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^5 e^{-tx} dx = \frac{120}{t^6}.$$

Do you see the pattern? It is

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-tx} dx = \frac{n!}{t^{n+1}}.$$

We have used the presence of the extra variable  $t$  to get these equations by repeatedly applying  $d/dt$ . Now specialize  $t$  to 1 in (2.6). We obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-x} dx = n!,$$

which is our old friend (2.1). Voilà!

The idea that made this work is introducing a parameter  $t$ , using calculus on  $t$ , and then setting  $t$  to a particular value so it disappears from the final formula. In other words, sometimes *to solve a problem it is useful to solve a more general problem*. Compare (2.1) to (2.6).

### 3. A DAMPED SINE INTEGRAL

We are going to use differentiation under the integral sign to prove

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t$$

for  $t > 0$ .

Call this integral  $F(t)$  and set  $f(x, t) = e^{-tx}(\sin x)/x$ , so  $(\partial/\partial t)f(x, t) = -e^{-tx} \sin x$ . Then

$$F'(t) = -\int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx}(\sin x) dx.$$

The integrand  $e^{-tx} \sin x$ , as a function of  $x$ , can be integrated by parts:

$$\int e^{ax} \sin x dx = \frac{(a \sin x - \cos x)}{1 + a^2} e^{ax}.$$

Applying this with  $a = -t$  and turning the indefinite integral into a definite integral,

$$F'(t) = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} (\sin x) dx = \frac{(t \sin x + \cos x)}{1 + t^2} e^{-tx} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty}.$$

As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t \sin x + \cos x$  oscillates a lot, but in a bounded way (since  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$  are bounded functions), while the term  $e^{-tx}$  decays exponentially to 0 since  $t > 0$ . So the value at  $x = \infty$  is 0. Therefore

$$F'(t) = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} (\sin x) dx = - \frac{1}{1 + t^2}.$$

We *know* an explicit antiderivative of  $1/(1 + t^2)$ , namely  $\arctan t$ . Since  $F(t)$  has the same  $t$ -derivative as  $-\arctan t$ , they differ by a constant: for some number  $C$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = -\arctan t + C \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

We've computed the integral, up to an additive constant, without finding an antiderivative of  $e^{-tx}(\sin x)/x$ .

To compute  $C$  in (3.1), let  $t \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides. Since  $|(\sin x)/x| \leq 1$ , the absolute value of the integral on the left is bounded from above by  $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} dx = 1/t$ , so the integral on the left in (3.1) tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\arctan t \rightarrow \pi/2$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , equation (3.1) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  becomes  $0 = -\frac{\pi}{2} + C$ , so  $C = \pi/2$ . Feeding this back into (3.1),

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

If we let  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  in (3.2), this equation suggests that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which is true and it is important in signal processing and Fourier analysis. It is a delicate matter to derive (3.3) from (3.2) since the integral in (3.3) is not absolutely convergent. Details are provided in an appendix.

#### 4. THE GAUSSIAN INTEGRAL

The improper integral formula

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}$$

is fundamental to probability theory and Fourier analysis. The function  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}$  is called a Gaussian, and (4.1) says the integral of the Gaussian over the whole real line is 1.

The physicist Lord Kelvin (after whom the Kelvin temperature scale is named) once wrote (4.1) on the board in a class and said ‘‘A mathematician is one to whom that [pointing at the formula] is as obvious as twice two makes four is to you.’’ We will prove (4.1) using differentiation under the integral sign. The method will not make (4.1) as obvious as  $2 \cdot 2 = 4$ . If you take further courses you may learn more natural derivations of (4.1) so that the result really does become obvious. For now, just try to follow the argument here step-by-step.

We are going to aim not at (4.1), but at an equivalent formula over the range  $x \geq 0$ :

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

Call the integral on the left  $I$ .

For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , set

$$F(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t^2(1+x^2)/2}}{1+x^2} dx.$$

Then  $F(0) = \int_0^\infty dx/(1+x^2) = \pi/2$  and  $F(\infty) = 0$ . Differentiating under the integral sign,

$$F'(t) = \int_0^\infty -te^{-t^2(1+x^2)/2} dx = -te^{-t^2/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-(tx)^2/2} dx.$$

Make the substitution  $y = tx$ , with  $dy = t dx$ , so

$$F'(t) = -e^{-t^2/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2/2} dy = -Ie^{-t^2/2}.$$

For  $b > 0$ , integrate both sides from 0 to  $b$  and use the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus:

$$\int_0^b F'(t) dt = -I \int_0^b e^{-t^2/2} dt \implies F(b) - F(0) = -I \int_0^b e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

Letting  $b \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$0 - \frac{\pi}{2} = -I^2 \implies I^2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \implies I = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

I learned this from Michael Rozman [6], who modified an idea on [math.stackexchange](#) [10], and in a slightly less elegant form it appeared much earlier in [9].

## 5. HIGHER MOMENTS OF THE GAUSSIAN

For every integer  $n \geq 0$  we want to compute a formula for

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty x^n e^{-x^2/2} dx.$$

(Integrals of the type  $\int x^n f(x) dx$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are called the *moments* of  $f(x)$ , so (5.1) is the  $n$ -th moment of the Gaussian.) When  $n$  is odd, (5.1) vanishes since  $x^n e^{-x^2/2}$  is an odd function. What if  $n = 0, 2, 4, \dots$  is even?

The first case,  $n = 0$ , is the Gaussian integral (4.1):

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

To get formulas for (5.1) when  $n \neq 0$ , we follow the same strategy as our treatment of the factorial integral in Section 2: stick a  $t$  into the exponent of  $e^{-x^2/2}$  and then differentiate repeatedly with respect to  $t$ .

For  $t > 0$ , replacing  $x$  with  $\sqrt{t}x$  in (5.2) gives

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Differentiate both sides of (5.3) with respect to  $t$ , using differentiation under the integral sign on the left:

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty -\frac{x^2}{2} e^{-tx^2/2} dx = -\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2t^{3/2}},$$

so

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty x^2 e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{t^{3/2}}.$$

Differentiate both sides of (5.4) with respect to  $t$ . After removing a common factor of  $-1/2$  on both sides, we get

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^4 e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{3\sqrt{2\pi}}{t^{5/2}}.$$

Differentiating both sides of (5.5) with respect to  $t$  a few more times, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^6 e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{3 \cdot 5\sqrt{2\pi}}{t^{7/2}},$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^8 e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7\sqrt{2\pi}}{t^{9/2}},$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{10} e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9\sqrt{2\pi}}{t^{11/2}}.$$

Quite generally, when  $n$  is even

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-tx^2/2} dx = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n-1)}{t^{(n+1)/2}} \sqrt{2\pi},$$

where the numerator is the product of the positive odd integers from 1 to  $n-1$  (understood to be the empty product 1 when  $n=0$ ).

In particular, taking  $t=1$  we have computed (5.1):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-x^2/2} dx = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n-1) \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

As an application of (5.4), we now compute  $(\frac{1}{2})! := \int_0^{\infty} x^{1/2} e^{-x} dx$ , where the notation  $(\frac{1}{2})!$  and its definition are inspired by Euler's integral formula (2.1) for  $n!$  when  $n$  is a nonnegative integer. Using the substitution  $u = x^{1/2}$  in  $\int_0^{\infty} x^{1/2} e^{-x} dx$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)! &= \int_0^{\infty} x^{1/2} e^{-x} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} u e^{-u^2} (2u) du \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} u^2 e^{-u^2} du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 e^{-u^2} du \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2^{3/2}} \text{ by (5.4) at } t=2 \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

## 6. A COSINE TRANSFORM OF THE GAUSSIAN

We are going to compute

$$F(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \cos(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

by looking at its  $t$ -derivative:

$$(6.1) \quad F'(t) = \int_0^\infty -x \sin(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx.$$

This is *good* from the viewpoint of integration by parts since  $-xe^{-x^2/2}$  is the derivative of  $e^{-x^2/2}$ . So we apply integration by parts to (6.1):

$$u = \sin(tx), \quad dv = -xe^{-x^2/2} dx$$

and

$$du = t \cos(tx) dx, \quad v = e^{-x^2/2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \int_0^\infty u dv \\ &= uv \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty v du \\ &= \frac{\sin(tx)}{e^{x^2/2}} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} - t \int_0^\infty \cos(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &= \frac{\sin(tx)}{e^{x^2/2}} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=\infty} - tF(t). \end{aligned}$$

As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $e^{x^2/2}$  blows up while  $\sin(tx)$  stays bounded, so  $\sin(tx)/e^{x^2/2}$  goes to 0. Therefore

$$F'(t) = -tF(t).$$

We *know* the solutions to this differential equation: constant multiples of  $e^{-t^2/2}$ . So

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx = Ce^{-t^2/2}$$

for some constant  $C$ . To find  $C$ , set  $t = 0$ . The left side is  $\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx$ , which is  $\sqrt{\pi/2}$  by (4.2). The right side is  $C$ . Thus  $C = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ , so we are done: for all real  $t$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-t^2/2}.$$

**Remark 6.1.** If we want to compute  $G(t) = \int_0^\infty \sin(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx$ , with  $\sin(tx)$  in place of  $\cos(tx)$ , then in place of  $F'(t) = -tF(t)$  we have  $G'(t) = 1 - tG(t)$ , and  $G(0) = 0$ . From the differential equation,  $(e^{t^2/2}G(t))' = e^{t^2/2}$ , so  $G(t) = e^{-t^2/2} \int_0^t e^{x^2/2} dx$ . So while  $\int_0^\infty \cos(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-t^2/2}$ , the integral  $\int_0^\infty \sin(tx) e^{-x^2/2} dx$  is impossible to express in terms of elementary functions.

## 7. THE GAUSSIAN TIMES A LOGARITHM

We will compute

$$\int_0^\infty (\log x) e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Integrability at  $\infty$  follows from rapid decay of  $e^{-x^2}$  at  $\infty$ , and integrability near  $x = 0$  follows from the integrand there being nearly  $\log x$ , which is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , so the integral makes sense. (This example was brought to my attention by Harald Helfgott.)

We already know  $\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}/2$ , but how do we find the integral when a factor of  $\log x$  is inserted into the integrand? Replacing  $x$  with  $\sqrt{x}$  in the integral,

$$(7.1) \quad \int_0^\infty (\log x)e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}} e^{-x} dx.$$

To compute this last integral, the key idea is that  $(d/dt)(x^t) = x^t \log x$ , so we get a factor of  $\log x$  in an integral after differentiation under the integral sign if the integrand has an exponential parameter: for  $t > -1$  set

$$F(t) = \int_0^\infty x^t e^{-x} dx.$$

(This is integrable for  $x$  near 0 since for small  $x$ ,  $x^t e^{-x} \approx x^t$ , which is integrable near 0 since  $t > -1$ .) Differentiating both sides with respect to  $t$ ,

$$F'(t) = \int_0^\infty x^t (\log x) e^{-x} dx,$$

so (7.1) tells us the number we are interested in is  $F'(-1/2)/4$ .

The function  $F(t)$  is well-known under a different name: for  $s > 0$ , the  $\Gamma$ -function at  $s$  is defined by

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx,$$

so  $\Gamma(s) = F(s-1)$ . Therefore  $\Gamma'(s) = F'(s-1)$ , so  $F'(-1/2)/4 = \Gamma'(1/2)/4$ . For the rest of this section we work out a formula for  $\Gamma'(1/2)/4$  using properties of the  $\Gamma$ -function; there is no more differentiation under the integral sign.

We need two standard identities for the  $\Gamma$ -function:

$$(7.2) \quad \Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s), \quad \Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2s}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2s).$$

The first identity follows from integration by parts. Since  $\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx = 1$ , the first identity implies  $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$  for every positive integer  $n$ . The second identity, called the duplication formula, is subtle. For example, at  $s = 1/2$  it says  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ . A proof of the duplication formula can be found in many complex analysis textbooks. (The integral defining  $\Gamma(s)$  makes sense not just for real  $s > 0$ , but also for complex  $s$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ , and the  $\Gamma$ -function is usually regarded as a function of a complex, rather than real, variable.)

Differentiating the first identity in (7.2),

$$(7.3) \quad \Gamma'(s+1) = s\Gamma'(s) + \Gamma(s),$$

so at  $s = 1/2$

$$(7.4) \quad \Gamma'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\pi} \implies \Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 2\left(\Gamma'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \sqrt{\pi}\right).$$

Differentiating the second identity in (7.2),

$$(7.5) \quad \Gamma(s)\Gamma'\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \Gamma'(s)\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2s}(-\log 4)\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2s) + 2^{1-2s}\sqrt{\pi}2\Gamma'(2s).$$

Setting  $s = 1$  here and using  $\Gamma(1) = \Gamma(2) = 1$ ,

$$(7.6) \quad \Gamma'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \Gamma'(1)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = (-\log 2)\sqrt{\pi} + \sqrt{\pi}\Gamma'(2).$$



We compute  $\Gamma(3/2)$  by the first identity in (7.2) at  $s = 1/2$ :  $\Gamma(3/2) = (1/2)\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$  (we already computed this at the end of Section 5). We compute  $\Gamma'(2)$  by (7.3) at  $s = 1$ :  $\Gamma'(2) = \Gamma'(1) + 1$ . Thus (7.6) says

$$\Gamma'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \Gamma'(1)\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = (-\log 2)\sqrt{\pi} + \sqrt{\pi}(\Gamma'(1) + 1) \implies \Gamma'\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}\left(-\log 2 + \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{2}\right) + \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Feeding this formula for  $\Gamma'(3/2)$  into (7.4),

$$\Gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}(-2\log 2 + \Gamma'(1)).$$

It turns out that  $\Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$ , where  $\gamma \approx .577$  is Euler's constant. Thus, at last,

$$\int_0^\infty (\log x)e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{\Gamma'(1/2)}{4} = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}(2\log 2 + \gamma).$$

## 8. LOGS IN THE DENOMINATOR, PART I

Consider the following integral over  $[0, 1]$ , where  $t > 0$ :

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^t - 1}{\log x} dx.$$

Since  $1/\log x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0^+$ , the integrand vanishes at  $x = 0$ . As  $x \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $(x^t - 1)/\log x \rightarrow t$ . Therefore when  $t$  is fixed the integrand is a continuous function of  $x$  on  $[0, 1]$ , so the integral is not an improper integral.

The  $t$ -derivative of this integral is

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^t \log x}{\log x} dx = \int_0^1 x^t dx = \frac{1}{t+1},$$

which we recognize as the  $t$ -derivative of  $\log(t+1)$ . Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^t - 1}{\log x} dx = \log(t+1) + C$$

for some  $C$ . To find  $C$ , let  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . On the right side,  $\log(1+t)$  tends to 0. On the left side, the integrand tends to 0:  $|(x^t - 1)/\log x| = |(e^{t \log x} - 1)/\log x| \leq t$  because  $|e^a - 1| \leq |a|$  when  $a \leq 0$ . Therefore the integral on the left tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . So  $C = 0$ , which implies

$$(8.1) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{x^t - 1}{\log x} dx = \log(t+1)$$

for all  $t > 0$ , and it's obviously also true for  $t = 0$ . Another way to compute this integral is to write  $x^t = e^{t \log x}$  as a power series and integrate term by term, which is valid for  $-1 < t < 1$ .

Under the change of variables  $x = e^{-y}$ , (8.1) becomes

$$(8.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-y} - e^{-(t+1)y} \right) \frac{dy}{y} = \log(t+1).$$

## 9. LOGS IN THE DENOMINATOR, PART II

We now consider the integral

$$F(t) = \int_2^\infty \frac{dx}{x^t \log x}$$

for  $t > 1$ . The integral converges by comparison with  $\int_2^\infty dx/x^t$ . We know that “at  $t = 1$ ” the integral diverges to  $\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^\infty \frac{dx}{x \log x} &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_2^b \frac{dx}{x \log x} \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \log \log x \Big|_2^b \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \log \log b - \log \log 2 \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So we expect that as  $t \rightarrow 1^+$ ,  $F(t)$  should blow up. But *how* does it blow up? By analyzing  $F'(t)$  and then integrating back, we are going to show  $F(t)$  behaves essentially like  $-\log(t-1)$  as  $t \rightarrow 1^+$ .

Using differentiation under the integral sign, for  $t > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \int_2^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{x^t \log x} \right) dx \\ &= \int_2^\infty \frac{x^{-t}(-\log x)}{\log x} dx \\ &= - \int_2^\infty \frac{dx}{x^t} \\ &= - \left. \frac{x^{-t+1}}{-t+1} \right|_{x=2}^{x=\infty} \\ &= \frac{2^{1-t}}{1-t}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to bound this derivative from above and below when  $t > 1$ . Then we will integrate to get bounds on the size of  $F(t)$ .

For  $t > 1$ , the difference  $1 - t$  is negative, so  $2^{1-t} < 1$ . Dividing both sides of this by  $1 - t$ , which is negative, reverses the sense of the inequality and gives

$$\frac{2^{1-t}}{1-t} > \frac{1}{1-t}.$$

This is a lower bound on  $F'(t)$ . To get an upper bound on  $F'(t)$ , we want to use a lower bound on  $2^{1-t}$ . Since  $e^a \geq a + 1$  for all  $a$  (the graph of  $y = e^x$  lies on or above its tangent line at  $x = 0$ , which is  $y = x + 1$ ),

$$2^x = e^{x \log 2} \geq (\log 2)x + 1$$

for all  $x$ . Taking  $x = 1 - t$ ,

$$(9.1) \quad 2^{1-t} \geq (\log 2)(1-t) + 1.$$

When  $t > 1$ ,  $1 - t$  is negative, so dividing (9.1) by  $1 - t$  reverses the sense of the inequality:

$$\frac{2^{1-t}}{1-t} \leq \log 2 + \frac{1}{1-t}.$$

This is an upper bound on  $F'(t)$ . Putting the upper and lower bounds on  $F'(t)$  together,

$$(9.2) \quad \frac{1}{1-t} < F'(t) \leq \log 2 + \frac{1}{1-t}$$

for all  $t > 1$ .

We are concerned with the behavior of  $F(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 1^+$ . Let's integrate (9.2) from  $a$  to 2, where  $1 < a < 2$ :

$$\int_a^2 \frac{dt}{1-t} < \int_a^2 F'(t) dt \leq \int_a^2 \left( \log 2 + \frac{1}{1-t} \right) dt.$$

Using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$-\log(t-1) \Big|_a^2 < F(t) \Big|_a^2 \leq ((\log 2)t - \log(t-1)) \Big|_a^2,$$

so

$$\log(a-1) < F(2) - F(a) \leq (\log 2)(2-a) + \log(a-1).$$

Manipulating to get inequalities on  $F(a)$ , we have

$$(\log 2)(a-2) - \log(a-1) + F(2) \leq F(a) < -\log(a-1) + F(2)$$

Since  $a-2 > -1$  for  $1 < a < 2$ ,  $(\log 2)(a-2)$  is greater than  $-\log 2$ . This gives the bounds

$$-\log(a-1) + F(2) - \log 2 \leq F(a) < -\log(a-1) + F(2)$$

Writing  $a$  as  $t$ , we get

$$-\log(t-1) + F(2) - \log 2 \leq F(t) < -\log(t-1) + F(2),$$

so  $F(t)$  is a bounded distance from  $-\log(t-1)$  when  $1 < t < 2$ . In particular,  $F(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow 1^+$ .

## 10. SMOOTHLY DIVIDING BY $t$

Let  $h(t)$  be an infinitely differentiable function for all real  $t$  such that  $h(0) = 0$ . The ratio  $h(t)/t$  makes sense for  $t \neq 0$ , and it also can be given a reasonable meaning at  $t = 0$ : from the very definition of the derivative, when  $t \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$\frac{h(t)}{t} = \frac{h(t) - h(0)}{t - 0} \rightarrow h'(0).$$

Therefore the function

$$r(t) = \begin{cases} h(t)/t, & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ h'(0), & \text{if } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

is continuous for all  $t$ . We can see immediately from the definition of  $r(t)$  that it is better than continuous when  $t \neq 0$ : it is infinitely differentiable when  $t \neq 0$ . The question we want to address is this: is  $r(t)$  infinitely differentiable at  $t = 0$  too?

If  $h(t)$  has a power series representation around  $t = 0$ , then it is easy to show that  $r(t)$  is infinitely differentiable at  $t = 0$  by working with the series for  $h(t)$ . Indeed, write

$$h(t) = c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + c_3 t^3 + \dots$$

for all small  $t$ . Here  $c_1 = h'(0)$ ,  $c_2 = h''(0)/2!$  and so on. For small  $t \neq 0$ , we divide by  $t$  and get

$$(10.1) \quad r(t) = c_1 + c_2 t + c_3 t^2 + \dots,$$

which is a power series representation for  $r(t)$  for all small  $t \neq 0$ . The value of the right side of (10.1) at  $t = 0$  is  $c_1 = h'(0)$ , which is also the defined value of  $r(0)$ , so (10.1) is valid for all small  $x$  (including  $t = 0$ ). Therefore  $r(t)$  has a power series representation around 0 (it's just the power

series for  $h(t)$  at 0 divided by  $t$ ). Since functions with power series representations around a point are infinitely differentiable at the point,  $r(t)$  is infinitely differentiable at  $t = 0$ .

However, this is an *incomplete* answer to our question about the infinite differentiability of  $r(t)$  at  $t = 0$  because we know by the key example of  $e^{-1/t^2}$  (at  $t = 0$ ) that a function can be infinitely differentiable at a point *without* having a power series representation at the point. How are we going to show  $r(t) = h(t)/t$  is infinitely differentiable at  $t = 0$  if we don't have a power series to help us out? Might there actually be a counterexample?

The solution is to write  $h(t)$  in a very clever way using differentiation under the integral sign. Start with

$$h(t) = \int_0^t h'(u) du.$$

(This is correct since  $h(0) = 0$ .) For  $t \neq 0$ , introduce the change of variables  $u = tx$ , so  $du = t dx$ . At the boundary, if  $u = 0$  then  $x = 0$ . If  $u = t$  then  $x = 1$  (we can divide the equation  $t = tx$  by  $t$  because  $t \neq 0$ ). Therefore

$$h(t) = \int_0^1 h'(tx)t dx = t \int_0^1 h'(tx) dx.$$

Dividing by  $t$  when  $t \neq 0$ , we get

$$r(t) = \frac{h(t)}{t} = \int_0^1 h'(tx) dx.$$

The left and right sides don't have any  $t$  in the denominator. Are they equal at  $t = 0$  too? The left side at  $t = 0$  is  $r(0) = h'(0)$ . The right side is  $\int_0^1 h'(0) dx = h'(0)$  too, so

$$(10.2) \quad r(t) = \int_0^1 h'(tx) dx$$

for all  $t$ , including  $t = 0$ . This is a formula for  $h(t)/t$  where there is no longer a  $t$  being divided!

Now we're set to use differentiation under the integral sign. The way we have set things up here, we want to differentiate with respect to  $t$ ; the integration variable on the right is  $x$ . We can use differentiation under the integral sign on (10.2) when the integrand is differentiable. Since the integrand is infinitely differentiable,  $r(t)$  is infinitely differentiable!

Explicitly,

$$r'(t) = \int_0^1 x h''(tx) dx$$

and

$$r''(t) = \int_0^1 x^2 h'''(tx) dx$$

and more generally

$$r^{(k)}(t) = \int_0^1 x^k h^{(k+1)}(tx) dx.$$

In particular,  $r^{(k)}(0) = \int_0^1 x^k h^{(k+1)}(0) dx = \frac{h^{(k+1)}(0)}{k+1}$ .

## 11. COUNTEREXAMPLES AND JUSTIFICATION

We have seen many examples where differentiation under the integral sign can be carried out with interesting results, but we have not actually stated conditions under which (1.2) is valid. Something does need to be checked. In [8], an incorrect use of differentiation under the integral sign due to Cauchy is discussed, where a divergent integral is evaluated as a finite expression. Here are two other examples where differentiation under the integral sign does not work.

**Example 11.1.** It is pointed out in [3, Example 6] that the formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which we discussed at the end of Section 3, leads to an erroneous instance of differentiation under the integral sign. Rewrite the formula as

$$(11.1) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(ty)}{y} dy = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for any  $t > 0$ , by the change of variables  $x = ty$ . Then differentiation under the integral sign implies

$$\int_0^{\infty} \cos(ty) dy = 0,$$

which doesn't make sense.

The next example shows that even if both sides of (1.2) make sense, they need not be equal.

**Example 11.2.** For any real numbers  $x$  and  $t$ , let

$$f(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{xt^3}{(x^2 + t^2)^2}, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \text{ or } t \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$F(t) = \int_0^1 f(x, t) dx.$$

For instance,  $F(0) = \int_0^1 f(x, 0) dx = \int_0^1 0 dx = 0$ . When  $t \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \int_0^1 \frac{xt^3}{(x^2 + t^2)^2} dx \\ &= \int_{t^2}^{1+t^2} \frac{t^3}{2u^2} du \quad (\text{where } u = x^2 + t^2) \\ &= -\frac{t^3}{2u} \Big|_{u=t^2}^{u=1+t^2} \\ &= -\frac{t^3}{2(1+t^2)} + \frac{t^3}{2t^2} \\ &= \frac{t}{2(1+t^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This formula also works at  $t = 0$ , so  $F(t) = t/(2(1+t^2))$  for all  $t$ . Therefore  $F(t)$  is differentiable and

$$F'(t) = \frac{1-t^2}{2(1+t^2)^2}$$

for all  $t$ . In particular,  $F'(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now we compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t)$  and then  $\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t) dx$ . Since  $f(0, t) = 0$  for all  $t$ ,  $f(0, t)$  is differentiable in  $t$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(0, t) = 0$ . For  $x \neq 0$ ,  $f(x, t)$  is differentiable in  $t$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t) &= \frac{(x^2 + t^2)^2(3xt^2) - xt^3 \cdot 2(x^2 + t^2)2t}{(x^2 + t^2)^4} \\ &= \frac{xt^2(x^2 + t^2)(3(x^2 + t^2) - 4t^2)}{(x^2 + t^2)^4} \\ &= \frac{xt^2(3x^2 - t^2)}{(x^2 + t^2)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining both cases ( $x = 0$  and  $x \neq 0$ ),

$$(11.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{xt^2(3x^2 - t^2)}{(x^2 + t^2)^3}, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0}f(x, t) = 0$ . Therefore at  $t = 0$  the left side of the “formula”

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 f(x, t) dx = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t) dx.$$

is  $F'(0) = 1/2$  and the right side is  $\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0}f(x, t) dx = 0$ . The two sides are unequal!

The problem in this example is that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t)$  is not a continuous function of  $(x, t)$ . Indeed, the denominator in the formula in (11.2) is  $(x^2 + t^2)^3$ , which has a problem near  $(0, 0)$ . Specifically, while this derivative vanishes at  $(0, 0)$ , if we let  $(x, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  along the line  $x = t$ , then on this line  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t)$  has the value  $1/(4x)$ , which does not tend to 0 as  $(x, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ .

**Theorem 11.3.** *The equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b f(x, t) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t) dx$$

is valid at  $t = t_0$ , in the sense that both sides exist and are equal, provided the following two conditions hold:

- $f(x, t)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t)$  are continuous functions of two variables when  $x$  is in the range of integration and  $t$  is in some interval around  $t_0$ ,
- for  $t$  in some interval around  $t_0$  there are upper bounds  $|f(x, t)| \leq A(x)$  and  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t)| \leq B(x)$ , both bounds being independent of  $t$ , such that  $\int_a^b A(x) dx$  and  $\int_a^b B(x) dx$  exist.

*Proof.* See [4, pp. 337–339]. If the interval of integration is infinite,  $\int_a^b A(x) dx$  and  $\int_a^b B(x) dx$  are improper.  $\square$

In Table 1 we include choices for  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  for each of the functions we have treated. Since the calculation of a derivative at a point only depends on an interval around the point, we have replaced a  $t$ -range such as  $t > 0$  with  $t \geq c > 0$  in some cases to obtain choices for  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$ .

**Corollary 11.4.** *If  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  are both differentiable, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} f(x, t) dx = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x, t) dx + f(b(t), t)b'(t) - f(a(t), t)a'(t)$$

if the following conditions are satisfied:

Section	$f(x, t)$	$x$ range	$t$ range	$t$ we want	$A(x)$	$B(x)$
2	$x^n e^{-tx}$	$[0, \infty)$	$t \geq c > 0$	1	$x^n e^{-cx}$	$x^{n+1} e^{-cx}$
3	$e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x}$	$(0, \infty)$	$t \geq c > 0$	0	$e^{-cx}$	$e^{-cx}$
4	$\frac{1}{1+x^2} e^{-t^2(1+x^2)/2}$	$[0, \infty)$	$t \geq c > 0$	all $t \geq 0$	$\frac{1}{1+x^2}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} e^{-c^2 x^2/2}$
5	$x^n e^{-tx^2}$	<b>R</b>	$t \geq c > 0$	1	$x^n e^{-cx^2}$	$x^{n+2} e^{-cx^2}$
6	$\cos(tx) e^{-x^2/2}$	$[0, \infty)$	<b>R</b>	all $t$	$e^{-x^2/2}$	$ x  e^{-x^2/2}$
7	$x^{t-1} e^{-x}$	$(0, \infty)$	$0 < t \leq c$	1/2, 1, 3/2, 2	$x^{c-1} e^{-x}$	$x^{c-1}  \log x  e^{-x}$
8	$\frac{x^t - 1}{\log x}$	$(0, 1]$	$0 < t < c$	1	$\frac{1-x^c}{\log x}$	1
9	$\frac{1}{x^t \log x}$	$[2, \infty)$	$t \geq c > 1$	$t > 1$	$\frac{1}{x^2 \log x}$	$\frac{1}{x^c}$
10	$x^k h^{(k+1)}(tx)$	$[0, 1]$	$ t  < c$	0	$\max_{ y  \leq c}  h^{(k+1)}(y) $	$\max_{ y  \leq c}  h^{(k+2)}(y) $

TABLE 1. Summary

- there are  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $c_1 < c_2$  such that  $f(x, t)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t)$  are continuous on  $[\alpha, \beta] \times (c_1, c_2)$ ,
- we have  $a(t) \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $b(t) \in [\alpha, \beta]$  for all  $t \in (c_1, c_2)$ ,
- there are upper bounds  $|f(x, t)| \leq A(x)$  and  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t)| \leq B(x)$  for  $(x, t) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times (c_1, c_2)$  such that  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} A(x) dx$  and  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} B(x) dx$  exist.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 11.3 and the chain rule for multivariable functions. Set a function of three variables

$$I(t, a, b) = \int_a^b f(x, t) dx$$

for  $(t, a, b) \in (c_1, c_2) \times [\alpha, \beta] \times [\alpha, \beta]$ . (Here  $a$  and  $b$  are not functions of  $t$ .) Then

$$(11.3) \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a, b) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) dx, \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial a}(t, a, b) = -f(a, t), \quad \frac{\partial I}{\partial b}(t, a, b) = f(b, t),$$

where the first formula follows from Theorem 11.3 (its hypotheses are satisfied for each  $a$  and  $b$  in  $[\alpha, \beta]$ ) and the second and third formulas are the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. For differentiable functions  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  with values in  $[\alpha, \beta]$  for  $c_1 < t < c_2$ , by the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} f(x, t) dx &= \frac{d}{dt} I(t, a(t), b(t)) \\ &= \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(t, a(t), b(t)) \frac{dt}{dt} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial a}(t, a(t), b(t)) \frac{da}{dt} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial b}(t, a(t), b(t)) \frac{db}{dt} \\ &= \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, t) dx - f(a(t), t) a'(t) + f(b(t), t) b'(t) \text{ by (11.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

A version of differentiation under the integral sign for  $t$  a complex variable is in [5, pp. 392–393].

**Example 11.5.** For a parametric integral  $\int_a^t f(x, t) dx$ , where  $a$  is fixed, Corollary 11.4 tells us that

$$(11.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t f(x, t) dx = \int_a^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) dx + f(t, t)$$

provided the hypotheses of the corollary are satisfied: (i) there are  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $c_1 < c_2$  such that  $f$  and  $\partial f/\partial t$  are continuous for  $(x, t) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times (c_1, c_2)$ , (ii)  $\alpha \leq a \leq \beta$  and  $(c_1, c_2) \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ , and (iii) there are bounds  $|f(x, t)| \leq A(x)$  and  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t)| \leq B(x)$  for  $(x, t) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times (c_1, c_2)$  such that the integrals  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} A(x) dx$  and  $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} B(x) dx$  both exist.

Let's apply this to the integral

$$F(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\log(1+tx)}{1+x^2} dx$$

where  $t > 0$ . Here  $f(x, t) = \log(1+tx)/(1+x^2)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t) = \frac{1}{(1+tx)(1+x^2)}$ . Fix  $c > 0$  and use  $\alpha = c_1 = 0$  and  $\beta = c_2 = c$ . Then we can justify (11.4) for  $(x, t) \in [0, c] \times (0, c)$  using  $A(x) = \log(1+c^2)/(1+x^2)$  and  $B(x) = c/(1+x^2)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{x}{(1+tx)(1+x^2)} dx + \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{1+t^2} \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+t^2} \left( \frac{-t}{1+tx} + \frac{t+x}{1+x^2} \right) dx + \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{1+t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Splitting up the integral into three terms,

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \left( \frac{-1}{1+t^2} \log(1+tx) + \frac{t}{1+t^2} \arctan(x) + \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{2(1+t^2)} \right) \Big|_0^t + \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{1+t^2} \\ &= \frac{-\log(1+t^2)}{1+t^2} + \frac{t \arctan(t)}{1+t^2} + \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{2(1+t^2)} + \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{1+t^2} \\ &= \frac{t \arctan(t)}{1+t^2} + \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{2(1+t^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $F(0) = 0$ , so by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

$$F(t) = \int_0^t F'(y) dy = \int_0^t \left( \frac{y \arctan(y)}{1+y^2} + \frac{\log(1+y^2)}{2(1+y^2)} \right) dy.$$

Using integration by parts on the first integrand with  $u = \arctan(y)$  and  $dv = \frac{y}{1+y^2} dy$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= uv \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t v du + \int_0^t \frac{\log(1+y^2)}{2(1+y^2)} dy \\ &= \arctan(y) \frac{\log(1+y^2)}{2} \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t \frac{\log(1+y^2)}{2(1+y^2)} dy + \int_0^t \frac{\log(1+y^2)}{2(1+y^2)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \arctan(t) \log(1+t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $0 < t < c$  we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{\log(1+tx)}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \arctan(t) \log(1+t^2).$$

Since  $c$  was arbitrary, this equation holds for all  $t > 0$  (and trivially at  $t = 0$  too). Setting  $t = 1$ ,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\log(1+x)}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \arctan(1) \log 2 = \frac{\pi \log 2}{8}.$$



## 12. THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

By differentiating under the integral sign we will deduce the fundamental theorem of algebra: a nonconstant polynomial  $p(z)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{C}$  has a root in  $\mathbf{C}$ . The proof is due to Schep [7].

Arguing by contradiction, assume  $p(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ . For  $r \geq 0$ , consider the following integral around a circle of radius  $r$  centered at the origin:

$$I(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{p(re^{i\theta})}.$$

This integral makes sense since the denominator is never 0, so  $1/p(z)$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $f(\theta, r) = 1/p(re^{i\theta})$ , so  $I(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta, r) d\theta$ .

We will prove three properties of  $I(r)$ :

- (1) Theorem 11.3 can be applied to  $I(r)$  for  $r > 0$ ,
- (2)  $I(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (3)  $I(r) \rightarrow I(0)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$  (continuity at  $r = 0$ ).

Taking these for granted, let's see how a contradiction occurs. For  $r > 0$ ,

$$I'(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(\theta, r) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{-p'(re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}}{p(re^{i\theta})^2} d\theta.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\theta, r) = \frac{-p'(re^{i\theta})}{p(re^{i\theta})^2} ire^{i\theta} = ir \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(\theta, r),$$

for  $r > 0$  we have

$$I'(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(\theta, r) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{ir} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\theta, r) d\theta = \frac{1}{ir} f(\theta, r) \Big|_{\theta=0}^{\theta=2\pi} = \frac{1}{ir} \left( \frac{1}{p(r)} - \frac{1}{p(r)} \right) = 0.$$

Thus  $I(r)$  is *constant* for  $r > 0$ . Since  $I(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the constant is zero:  $I(r) = 0$  for  $r > 0$ . Since  $I(r) \rightarrow I(0)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$  we get  $I(0) = 0$ , which is false since  $I(0) = 2\pi/p(0) \neq 0$ .

It remains to prove the three properties of  $I(r)$ .

- (1) Theorem 11.3 can be applied to  $I(r)$  for  $r > 0$ :

Since  $p(z)$  and  $p'(z)$  are both continuous on  $\mathbf{C}$ , the functions  $f(\theta, r)$  and  $(\partial/\partial r)f(\theta, r)$  are continuous for  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  and all  $r \geq 0$ . This confirms the first condition in Theorem 11.3.

For each  $r_0 > 0$  the set  $\{(\theta, r) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi], r \in [0, 2r_0]\}$  is closed and bounded, so the functions  $f(\theta, r)$  and  $(\partial/\partial r)f(\theta, r)$  are both bounded above by a constant (independent of  $r$  and  $\theta$ ) on this set. The range of integration  $[0, 2\pi]$  is finite, so the second condition in Theorem 11.3 is satisfied using constants for  $A(\theta)$  and  $B(\theta)$ .

(2)  $I(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ : Let  $p(z)$  have leading term  $cz^d$ , with  $d = \deg p(z) \geq 1$ . As  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|p(re^{i\theta})|/|re^{i\theta}|^d \rightarrow |c| > 0$ , so for all large  $r$  we have  $|p(re^{i\theta})| \geq |c|r^d/2$ . For such large  $r$ ,

$$|I(r)| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|p(re^{i\theta})|} \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|c|r^d/2} = \frac{4\pi}{|c|r^d},$$

and the upper bound tends to 0 as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  since  $d > 0$ , so  $I(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (3)  $I(r) \rightarrow I(0)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$ : For  $r > 0$ ,

$$(12.1) \quad I(r) - I(0) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{p(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{1}{p(0)} \right) d\theta \implies |I(r) - I(0)| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{p(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{1}{p(0)} \right| d\theta.$$

Since  $1/p(z)$  is continuous at 0, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|z| < \delta \implies |1/p(z) - 1/p(0)| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore if  $0 < r < \delta$ , (12.1) implies  $|I(r) - I(0)| \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \varepsilon d\theta = 2\pi\varepsilon$ .

## 13. AN EXAMPLE NEEDING A CHANGE OF VARIABLES

Our next example is taken from [1, pp. 78,84]. For all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , we will show by differentiation under the integral sign that

$$(13.1) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos(tx)}{1+x^2} dx = \pi e^{-|t|}.$$

Here  $f(x, t) = \cos(tx)/(1+x^2)$ . Since  $f(x, t)$  is continuous and  $|f(x, t)| \leq 1/(1+x^2)$ , the integral exists for all  $t$ . The function  $\pi e^{-|t|}$  is *not* differentiable at  $t = 0$ , so we shouldn't expect to be able to prove (13.1) at  $t = 0$  using differentiation under the integral sign; this special case can be treated with elementary calculus:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{dx}{1+x^2} = \arctan x \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \pi.$$

The integral in (13.1) is an even function of  $t$ , so to compute it for  $t \neq 0$  it suffices to treat the case  $t > 0$ .<sup>1</sup>

Let

$$F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos(tx)}{1+x^2} dx.$$

If we try to compute  $F'(t)$  for  $t > 0$  using differentiation under the integral sign, we get

$$(13.2) \quad F'(t) \stackrel{?}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\cos(tx)}{1+x^2} \right) dx = - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{x \sin(tx)}{1+x^2} dx.$$

Unfortunately, there is no upper bound  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t)| \leq B(x)$  that justifies differentiating  $F(t)$  under the integral sign (or even justifies that  $F(t)$  is differentiable). Indeed, when  $x$  is near a large odd multiple of  $(\pi/2)/t$ , the integrand in (13.2) has values that are approximately  $x/(1+x^2) \approx 1/x$ , which is not integrable for large  $x$ . That does not mean (13.2) is actually false, although if we weren't already told the answer on the right side of (13.1) then we might be suspicious about whether the integral is differentiable for all  $t > 0$ ; after all, you can't easily tell from the integral that it is not differentiable at  $t = 0$ .

Having already raised suspicions about (13.2), we can get something really crazy if we differentiate under the integral sign a second time:

$$F''(t) \stackrel{?}{=} - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{x^2 \cos(tx)}{1+x^2} dx.$$

If this made sense then

$$(13.3) \quad F''(t) - F(t) = - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{(x^2+1)\cos(tx)}{1+x^2} dx = - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \cos(tx) dx = ???.$$

All is not lost! Let's make a *change of variables*. Fixing  $t > 0$ , set  $y = tx$ , so  $dy = t dx$  and

$$F(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos y}{1+y^2/t^2} \frac{dy}{t} = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{t \cos y}{t^2+y^2} dy.$$

This new integral will be accessible to differentiation under the integral sign. (Although the new integral is an odd function of  $t$  while  $F(t)$  is an even function of  $t$ , there is no contradiction because this new integral was derived only for  $t > 0$ .)

---

<sup>1</sup>A reader who knows complex analysis can derive (13.1) for  $t > 0$  by the residue theorem, viewing  $\cos(tx)$  as the real part of  $e^{itx}$ .

Fix  $c' > c > 0$ . For  $t \in (c, c')$ , the integrand in

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{t \cos y}{t^2 + y^2} dy$$

is bounded above in absolute value by  $t/(t^2 + y^2) \leq c'/(c^2 + y^2)$ , which is independent of  $t$  and integrable over  $\mathbf{R}$ . The  $t$ -partial derivative of the integrand is  $(y^2 - t^2)(\cos y)/(t^2 + y^2)^2$ , which is bounded above in absolute value by  $(y^2 + t^2)/(t^2 + y^2)^2 = 1/(t^2 + y^2) \leq 1/(c^2 + y^2)$ , which is independent of  $t$  and integrable over  $\mathbf{R}$ . This justifies the use differentiation under the integral sign according to Theorem 11.3: for  $c < t < c'$ , and hence for all  $t > 0$  since we never specified  $c$  or  $c'$ ,

$$F'(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{t \cos y}{t^2 + y^2} \right) dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{y^2 - t^2}{(t^2 + y^2)^2} \cos y dy.$$

We want to compute  $F''(t)$  using differentiation under the integral sign. For  $0 < c < t < c'$ , the  $t$ -partial derivative of the integrand for  $F'(t)$  is bounded above in absolute value by a function of  $y$  that is independent of  $t$  and integrable over  $\mathbf{R}$  (exercise), so for all  $t > 0$  we have

$$F''(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{t \cos y}{t^2 + y^2} \right) dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{t}{t^2 + y^2} \right) \cos y dy.$$

It turns out that  $(\partial^2/\partial t^2)(t/(t^2 + y^2)) = -(\partial^2/\partial y^2)(t/(t^2 + y^2))$ , so

$$F''(t) = - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left( \frac{t}{t^2 + y^2} \right) \cos y dy.$$

Using integration by parts on this formula for  $F''(t)$  twice (starting with  $u = -\cos y$  and  $dv = (\partial^2/\partial y^2)(t/(t^2 + y^2))$ ), we obtain

$$F''(t) = - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{t}{t^2 + y^2} \right) \sin y dy = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \frac{t}{t^2 + y^2} \right) \cos y dy = F(t).$$

The equation  $F''(t) = F(t)$  is a second order linear ODE whose general solution is  $ae^t + be^{-t}$ , so

$$(13.4) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos(tx)}{1 + x^2} dx = ae^t + be^{-t}$$

for all  $t > 0$  and some real constants  $a$  and  $b$ . To determine  $a$  and  $b$  we look at the behavior of the integral in (13.4) as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  and as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

As  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , the integrand in (13.4) tends pointwise to  $1/(1 + x^2)$ , so we expect the integral tends to  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} dx/(1 + x^2) = \pi$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . To justify this, we will bound the absolute value of the difference

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos(tx)}{1 + x^2} dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{dx}{1 + x^2} \right| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|\cos(tx) - 1|}{1 + x^2} dx$$

by an expression that is arbitrarily small as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . For any  $N > 0$ , break up the integral over  $\mathbf{R}$  into the regions  $|x| \leq N$  and  $|x| \geq N$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|\cos(tx) - 1|}{1 + x^2} dx &\leq \int_{|x| \leq N} \frac{|\cos(tx) - 1|}{1 + x^2} dx + \int_{|x| \geq N} \frac{2}{1 + x^2} dx \\ &\leq \int_{|x| \leq N} \frac{t|x|}{1 + x^2} dx + \int_{|x| \geq N} \frac{2}{1 + x^2} dx \\ &= t \int_{|x| \leq N} \frac{|x|}{1 + x^2} dx + 4 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $N$  sufficiently large, we can make  $\pi/2 - \arctan N$  as small as we wish, and after doing that we can make the first term as small as we wish by taking  $t$  sufficiently small. Returning to (13.4), letting  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we obtain  $\pi = a + b$ , so

$$(13.5) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos(tx)}{1+x^2} dx = ae^t + (\pi - a)e^{-t}$$

for all  $t > 0$ .

Now let  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (13.5). The integral tends to 0 by the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma from Fourier analysis, although we can explain this concretely in our special case: using integration by parts with  $u = 1/(1+x^2)$  and  $dv = \cos(tx) dx$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\cos(tx)}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{2x \sin(tx)}{(1+x^2)^2} dx.$$

The absolute value of the term on the right is bounded above by a constant divided by  $t$ , which tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $ae^t + (\pi - a)e^{-t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This forces  $a = 0$ , which completes the proof that  $F(t) = \pi e^{-t}$  for  $t > 0$ .

#### 14. EXERCISES

- From the formula  $\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t$  for  $t > 0$ , in Section 3, use a change of variables to obtain a formula for  $\int_0^\infty e^{-ax} \frac{\sin(bx)}{x} dx$  when  $a$  and  $b$  are positive. Then use differentiation under the integral sign with respect to  $b$  to find a formula for  $\int_0^\infty e^{-ax} \cos(bx) dx$  when  $a$  and  $b$  are positive. (Differentiation under the integral sign with respect to  $a$  will produce a formula for  $\int_0^\infty e^{-ax} \sin(bx) dx$ , but that would be circular in our approach since we used that integral in our derivation of the formula for  $\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$  in Section 3.)
- From the formula  $\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t$  for  $t > 0$ , the change of variables  $x = ay$  with  $a > 0$  implies

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tay} \frac{\sin(ay)}{y} dy = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t,$$

so the integral on the left is independent of  $a$  and thus has  $a$ -derivative 0. Differentiation under the integral sign, with respect to  $a$ , implies

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tay} (\cos(ay) - t \sin(ay)) dy = 0.$$

Verify that this application of differentiation under the integral sign is valid when  $a > 0$  and  $t > 0$ . What happens if  $t = 0$ ?

- Show  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(tx)}{x(x^2+1)} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}(1-e^{-t})$  for  $t > 0$  by justifying differentiation under the integral sign and using (13.1).

4. Prove that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\cos x - 1}{x} dx = \log\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\right)$  for  $t > 0$ . What happens to the integral as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ ?

5. Prove that  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1+t^2x^2)}{1+x^2} dx = \pi \log(1+t)$  for  $t > 0$  (it is obvious for  $t = 0$ ). Then deduce

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1+a^2x^2)}{b^2+x^2} dx = \frac{\pi \log(1+ab)}{b}$$

for  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ .

6. Prove that  $\int_0^\infty (e^{-x} - e^{-tx}) \frac{dx}{x} = \log t$  for  $t > 0$  by justifying differentiation under the integral sign. This is (8.2) for  $t > -1$ . Deduce that  $\int_0^\infty (e^{-ax} - e^{-bx}) \frac{dx}{x} = \log(b/a)$  for positive  $a$  and  $b$ .

7. (G. Markowsky) Prove that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{t^2}{2x^2}} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-|t|}$  for all  $t$  by justifying differentiation under the integral sign for  $t > 0$ . (As in Section 13, this integral will not be differentiable at  $t = 0$ .) Deduce that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-ax^2 - b/x^2} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{a}} e^{-2\sqrt{ab}}$  for positive  $a$  and  $b$ . (Hint: Letting  $F(t)$  be the integral, after differentiation under the integral sign use a change of variables to show  $F'(t) = -F(t)$  for  $t > 0$ .)

8. In calculus textbooks, formulas for the indefinite integrals

$$\int x^n \sin x dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int x^n \cos x dx$$

are derived recursively using integration by parts. Find formulas for these integrals when  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$  using differentiation under the integral sign starting with the formulas

$$\int \cos(tx) dx = \frac{\sin(tx)}{t}, \quad \int \sin(tx) dx = -\frac{\cos(tx)}{t}$$

for  $t > 0$ .

9. If you are familiar with integration of complex-valued functions, show for  $y \in \mathbf{R}$  that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x+iy)^2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

In other words, show the integral on the left side is independent of  $y$ . (Hint: Use differentiation under the integral sign to compute the  $y$ -derivative of the left side.)

#### APPENDIX A. JUSTIFYING PASSAGE TO THE LIMIT IN A SINE INTEGRAL

In Section 3 we derived the equation

$$(A.1) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

which by naive passage to the limit as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  suggests that

$$(A.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

To prove (A.2) is correct, we will show  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$  exists and then show the difference

$$(A.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx - \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-tx}) \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$$

tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . The key in both cases is alternating series.

On the interval  $[k\pi, (k+1)\pi]$ , where  $k$  is an integer, we can write  $\sin x = (-1)^k |\sin x|$ , so convergence of  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$  is equivalent to convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx.$$

This is an alternating series in which the terms  $a_k = \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx$  are monotonically decreasing:

$$a_{k+1} = \int_{(k+1)\pi}^{(k+2)\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx = \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin(x+\pi)|}{x+\pi} dx = \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x+\pi} dx < a_k.$$

By a simple estimate  $a_k \leq \frac{1}{k\pi}$  for  $k \geq 1$ , so  $a_k \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k a_k$  converges.

To show the right side of (A.3) tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , we write it as an alternating series. Breaking up the interval of integration  $[0, \infty)$  into a union of intervals  $[k\pi, (k+1)\pi]$  for  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$(A.4) \quad \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-tx}) \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k I_k(t), \quad \text{where } I_k(t) = \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} (1 - e^{-tx}) \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx.$$

Since  $1 - e^{-tx} > 0$  for  $t > 0$  and  $x > 0$ , the series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k I_k(t)$  is alternating. The upper bound  $1 - e^{-tx} < 1$  tells us  $I_k(t) \leq \frac{1}{k\pi}$  for  $k \geq 1$ , so  $I_k(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . To show the terms  $I_k(t)$  are monotonically decreasing with  $k$ , set this up as the inequality

$$(A.5) \quad I_k(t) - I_{k+1}(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Each  $I_k(t)$  is a function of  $t$  for all  $t$ , not just  $t > 0$  (note  $I_k(t)$  only involves integration on a bounded interval). The difference  $I_k(t) - I_{k+1}(t)$  vanishes when  $t = 0$  (in fact both terms are then 0), and  $I'_k(t) = \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} e^{-tx} |\sin x| dx$  for all  $t$  by differentiation under the integral sign, so (A.5) would follow from the derivative inequality  $I'_k(t) - I'_{k+1}(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ . By a change of variables  $y = x - \pi$  in the integral for  $I'_{k+1}(t)$ ,

$$I'_{k+1}(t) = \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} e^{-t(y+\pi)} |\sin(y+\pi)| dy = e^{-t\pi} \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} e^{-ty} |\sin y| dy < I'_k(t).$$

This completes the proof that the series in (A.4) for  $t > 0$  satisfies the alternating series test.

If we truncate the series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k I_k(t)$  after the  $N$ th term, the magnitude of the error is no greater than the absolute value of the next term:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k I_k(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k I_k(t) + r_N, \quad |r_N| \leq |I_{N+1}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)\pi}.$$

Since  $|1 - e^{-tx}| \leq tx$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k I_k(t) \right| \leq \int_0^{(N+1)\pi} (1 - e^{-tx}) \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx = \int_0^{(N+1)\pi} t dx = t(N+1)\pi.$$

Thus

$$\left| \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-tx}) \frac{\sin x}{x} dx \right| \leq t(N+1)\pi + \frac{1}{(N+1)\pi}.$$

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can make the second term at most  $\varepsilon/2$  by a suitable choice of  $N$ . Then the first term is at most  $\varepsilon/2$  for all small enough  $t$  (depending on  $N$ ), and that shows (A.3) tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Appel, *Mathematics for Physics and Physicists*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2007.
- [2] R. P. Feynman, *Surely You're Joking, Mr. Feynman!*, Bantam, New York, 1985.
- [3] S. K. Goel and A. J. Zajta, "Parametric Integration Techniques," *Math. Mag.* **62** (1989), 318–322.
- [4] S. Lang, *Undergraduate Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [5] S. Lang, *Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [6] M. Rozman, "Evaluate Gaussian integral using differentiation under the integral sign," Course notes for Physics 2400 (UConn), Spring 2017. Online at <http://www.phys.uconn.edu/phys2400/downloads/gaussian-integral.pdf>.
- [7] A. R. Schep, "A Simple Complex Analysis and an Advanced Calculus Proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra," *Amer. Math. Monthly* **116** (2009), 67–68.
- [8] E. Talvila, "Some Divergent Trigonometric Integrals," *Amer. Math. Monthly* **108** (2001), 432–436.
- [9] J. van Yzeren, "Moivre's and Fresnel's Integrals by Simple Integration," *Amer. Math. Monthly* **86** (1979), 690–693.
- [10] <http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/390850/integrating-int-infty-0-e-x2-dx-using-feynmans-parametrization-trick>.