

Solutions for the Review Questions for Chapters 5 & 6

1. True or False: If true, give a reason as to why; if false, give a reason or an example. Also, if the statement is false, but some slight modification can be made which will make it true, state the modification.

(a) If $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ for some scalar λ , then \vec{x} is an eigenvector of A .

False: if $v\vec{x}$ is a nonzero vector for which $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ for some scalar λ , then \vec{x} would be an eigenvector

(b) The eigenvalues of a matrix are the values on the diagonal.

False: Only if the matrix is in triangular form will the eigenvalues be the diagonal entries.

(c) An eigenspace of A is a null space of a certain matrix.

True: the eigenspace of A corresponding to eigenvalue λ is $V_\lambda = \text{Nul}(A - \lambda I)$.

(d) The multiplicity of a root r of the characteristic equation of a matrix A is equal to the dimension of the eigenspace of A associated with the eigenvalue r .

False: The $\dim V_\lambda$ cannot exceed the algebraic multiplicity of the root λ in the characteristic equation, but it can certainly be less. Eg: the characteristic equation of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is $(1 - \lambda)^2 = 0$. The only eigenvalue is $\lambda = 1$, of multiplicity 2. However, $V_1 = \text{Nul}(A - 1 \cdot I) = \text{Nul}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Span}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ has dimension 1.

(e) If matrix B can be obtained from matrix A by applying elementary row operations to A , then A and B have the same eigenvalues.

False: Elementary row operations alter the eigenvalues (and eigenvectors also). You can see this by considering an invertible matrix A . Then A is certainly row equivalent to the identity which only has one eigenvalue, namely $\lambda = 1$, but clearly not all invertible matrices have only 1 as their eigenvalue. Eg: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$. A has eigenvalues 2 and 3 and A is invertible, hence row reducible to the identity.

(f) If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear transformation with standard matrix A , then $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a diagonal matrix if \mathcal{B} is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

False: $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal if and only if \mathcal{B} is a basis of eigenvectors. If A does not have n linearly independent eigenvectors then A is not diagonalizable. So $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ would not be diagonal for any basis \mathcal{B} .

(g) If \vec{x} is orthogonal to \vec{y} in a subspace W , then $\vec{x} \in W^\perp$.

False: This would be true if W were 1-dimensional. However, if $\dim W \geq 2$ with \vec{y}, \vec{y}' linearly independent in W , then \vec{x} might be orthogonal to \vec{y} , but not necessarily orthogonal to \vec{y}' . Eg: $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $W = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then \vec{x} is orthogonal to \vec{y} , but \vec{x} is not in W^\perp , which in this example equals $\{\vec{0}\}$.

- (h) The column vectors of A are orthogonal to the vectors in $\text{Nul } A$.
 False: $(\text{Row } A)^\perp = \text{Nul } A$, but $(\text{Col } A)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A^T)$.
- (i) An orthogonal matrix is invertible
 True: An orthogonal matrix A is a square matrix such that $A \cdot A^T = I$. Now by the MEGA Theorem way back when, A is invertible.
- (j) A basis of \mathbb{R}^n is an orthogonal set of n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .
 False: Only certain bases are orthogonal, most aren't. Eg: $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ form a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 since the second vector is not a multiple of the first and the dimension of \mathbb{R}^2 is 2. But they are not orthogonal since their dot product is $4 \neq 0$.
- (k) If $\vec{y} = \vec{z}_1 + \vec{z}_2$, where $\vec{z}_1 \in W$ and $\vec{z}_2 \in W^\perp$, then \vec{z}_1 is the orthogonal projection of \vec{y} onto W .
 True: By Theorem 8, page 395, the expression of \vec{y} as a sum of vectors one in W and another in W^\perp is unique and the one in W is called "the orthogonal projection of \vec{y} onto W ".
- (l) If W is a 3-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^8 and if $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is an orthogonal set of vectors, then $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is a basis for W .
 False: If the three vectors are in W , then it would be a basis of W . The orthogonality of the three vectors means that they are linearly independent and any three linearly independent vectors in a 3-dimensional vector space would have to be a basis. But there was nothing that said that the vectors had anything to do with W .
- (m) If $\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3\}$ is an ordered basis for a subspace W in \mathbb{R}^n , then the Gram-Schmidt process produces an ordered orthonormal basis of W whose first vector is \vec{x}_1 .
 False: The Gram-Schmidt method produces an orthogonal basis whose first vector is \vec{x}_1 . But unless \vec{x}_1 were a unit vector, it would not be part of an orthonormal basis.

2. Explain why an $n \times n$ matrix can have at most n different eigenvalues.

Eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues are linearly independent. If there were more than n different eigenvalues, then there would be more than n linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , which of course can't happen.

3. Explain why a matrix A is invertible if and only if A has only nonzero eigenvalues.

If A is invertible, then $\text{Nul } A = \{\vec{0}\}$, so there are no nonzero solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, i.e., no nonzero vectors \vec{x} where $A\vec{x} = 0\vec{x}$. So 0 is not an eigenvalue. On the other hand, if 0 is not an eigenvalue, then there can be no nonzero solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and hence by the MEGA Theorem, A is invertible.

4. Find the characteristic equation and eigenvalues for the following matrices:

$$(a) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (b) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (c) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (d) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 10 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

For (a), the characteristic equation is $(1 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda) = 0$ and the eigenvalues are 1, 4 and 6. For (b), the characteristic equation is $\lambda^3 = 0$ and hence the only eigenvalue is 0. For

(c), letting A be that matrix, then $\det(A - \lambda I) = (1 - \lambda) \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 3 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 3)$.

The characteristic equation is therefore $(1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 3) = 0$ and so the eigenvalues are 1, $\sqrt{3}$ and $-\sqrt{3}$. For (d), the product matrix is similar to the diagonal matrix in the middle and therefore has the same characteristic polynomial. So the characteristic equation is $(2 - \lambda)^2(3 - \lambda) = 0$ and therefore the eigenvalues are 2 and 3.

5. For the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 & -6 \\ -1 & 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$, find the characteristic equation, eigenvalues, eigenspaces,

a basis for each eigenspace, and determine if A is diagonalizable. If so, give a diagonal matrix D similar to A and exhibit the matrix P so that $A = PDP^{-1}$.

OK, here we go - we have to do everything for this one:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -4 & -6 \\ -1 - \lambda & -3 & \\ 1 & 2 & 5 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \dots = -\lambda^3 + 5\lambda^2 - 8\lambda + 4.$$

So the characteristic equation is: $\lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 4 = 0$.

Solving this we factor the characteristic polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 4 &= (\lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 4\lambda) + (4\lambda - 4) \\ &= \lambda(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 1) + 4(\lambda - 1) \\ &= (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4) \\ &= (\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the eigenvalues are 1 and 2.

Remark: You also could have tried values for λ to see if you can get a root of the characteristic equation. That would have gotten you $\lambda = 1$ fairly quickly and then divide $\lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 4$ by $\lambda - 1$. That would have left you with a quadratic in λ which you could have solved with the quadratic formula in the worst of cases.

$$V_1 = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 & -6 \\ -1 & -1 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$V_2 = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 & -6 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Since A has three linearly independent eigenvectors, \mathbb{R}^3 has a basis of eigenvectors and therefore A is diagonalizable.

We get that A is similar to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and moreover if $P = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $A = PDP^{-1}$.

6. For the linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3; \vec{x} \mapsto A\vec{x}$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -5 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is there an ordered basis \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{R}^3 relative to which $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal? If so, give the ordered basis and the corresponding matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$; if not, explain why not.

Here we do the almost the same in question 5 above only we don't go as far. $\det(A - \lambda I) = \dots = -\lambda^3 - 3\lambda^2 + 4 = -[\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 - 4] = -[\lambda^2(\lambda + 3) - 4] = -[\lambda^2(\lambda + 3) - 4] = -(\lambda + 2)(\lambda^2 + \lambda - 2) = -(\lambda + 2)^2(\lambda - 1)$.

The eigenvalues are therefore -2 and 1.

$$V_1 = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -6 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ which is 1-dimensional.}$$

$$V_{-2} = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Nul} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Thus, there is a basis \mathcal{B} relative to which $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal, namely $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

The corresponding matrix representation for T is $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$.

7. For the linear transformation

$$T : \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2; a + bt + ct^2 \mapsto (a + 3b + 3c) - (3a + 5b + 3c)t + (3a + 3b + c)t^2,$$

is there a basis \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{P}_2 relative to which $[T]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is diagonal? If so, give the ordered basis and the corresponding matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{C}}$; if not, explain why not. (*Hint:* consider the relationship of this with question 6 above.)

This is the same question as the previous one. Only we have to translate the linear transformation to one from \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{R}^3 first, then get the standard matrix A , the basis of eigenvectors for it, giving us the \mathcal{B} basis above. Then translate that matrix back to the language of \mathbb{P}_2 . Thus with

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

use the following basis \mathcal{C} of \mathbb{P}_2 :

$$\mathcal{C} = \{1 - t + t^2, -1 + t, -1 + t^2\},$$

in which case, $[T]_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$.

8. Show that $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 where

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -13 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Just dot all the pairs of vectors in the basis, $\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

9. Using the orthogonal basis \mathcal{B} as in question 8 above, find an expression for the vector $\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ in terms of \vec{v}_1 , \vec{v}_2 , and \vec{v}_3 .

Using Theorem 5, page 385, $\vec{y} = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + c_3\vec{v}_3$ where $c_1 = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_1}{\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1}$, $c_2 = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_2}{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_2}$, and $c_3 = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_3}{\vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3}$.

This gives us $y = \frac{2}{11}\vec{v}_1 + \frac{15}{18}\vec{v}_2 - \frac{15}{198}\vec{v}_3$.

10. Let $W = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\}$, where \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are as in question 8 above, and take $\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Express $\vec{y} = \hat{y} + \vec{z}$ where \hat{y} is in W and \vec{z} is in W^\perp .

We use Theorem 8, page 395. $\vec{y} = \hat{y} + \vec{z}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y} &= \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_1}{\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1} + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_2}{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_2} \\ &= \frac{2}{11}\vec{v}_1 + \frac{15}{18}\vec{v}_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2}{11} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{15}{18} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{19}{66} \\ -\frac{43}{66} \\ -\frac{116}{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } \vec{z} = \vec{y} - \hat{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{91}{66} \\ \frac{67}{66} \\ \frac{104}{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{85}{66} \\ \frac{175}{66} \\ \frac{215}{66} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{You can verify that } \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{19}{66} \\ -\frac{43}{66} \\ -\frac{116}{33} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{85}{66} \\ \frac{175}{66} \\ \frac{215}{66} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

11. Consider the basis $\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3\}$ for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^4 where $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Use the Gram-Schmidt method to find an orthonormal basis $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\}$ for the W so that $\text{Span}\{\vec{u}_1\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{x}_1\}$ and $\text{Span}\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2\}$.

We'll first find an orthogonal basis $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ by the Gram-Schmidt method and then divide each by their lengths to get the orthonormal basis $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\}$ requested.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ Now, } \vec{x}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_1 = 1 \text{ and } \|\vec{v}_1\|^2 = 2,$$

$$\text{So, } \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ Then, } \|\vec{v}_2\|^2 = \frac{5}{2}, \text{ and } \vec{x}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_1 = 2 \text{ and } \vec{x}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = 2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \vec{v}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{2}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{4}{5} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{2}{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{2}{5} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\vec{v}_1\| = \sqrt{2}$, $\|\vec{v}_2\| = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}$, and $\|\vec{v}_3\| = \sqrt{\frac{10}{25}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}$,

$$\text{We have } \vec{u}_1 = \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}_1\|} \vec{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{u}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{u}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\ -\frac{2}{5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} \end{bmatrix}$$